

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910784558203321
Autore	Schwartz Bernard <1923-1997, >
Titolo	The unpublished opinions of the Warren court / / Bernard Schwartz
Pubbl/distr/stampa	New York, New York ; ; Oxford, [England] : , : Oxford University Press, , 1985 ©1985
ISBN	1-280-43984-X 0-19-536520-8 1-60129-582-0
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (483 p.)
Altri autori (Persone)	SchwartzBernard <1923-1997.>
Disciplina	347.30735
Soggetti	Judicial opinions - United States
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di contenuto	Contents; Introduction: The Warren Court and Its Members; 1. Alton v. Alton (1954): Consensual Divorce Manque; 2. Dayton v. Dulles (1958): ""Faceless Informers"" and Due Process; 3. Perez v. Brownell and Trop v. Dulles (1958): Expatriation and Congressional Power; 4. Kennedy v. Mendoza-Martinez (1963): Expatriation as Punishment; 5. Bell v. Maryland (1964): Civil Rights versus Property Rights; 6. Estes v. Texas (1965): Television in the Courtroom; 7. Griswold v. Connecticut (1965): Penumbra and Privacy; 8. Time, Inc. v. Hill (1967): Privacy Suits and the First Amendment 9. Shapiro v. Thompson (1969): Rational Basis, Compelling Interest, and Fundamental Rights 10. Maxwell v. Bishop (1969): The Death Penalty in the Warren Court; 11. Brown v. Board of Education (1954, 1955): The Drafting Process in a Landmark Case
Sommario/riassunto	An important contribution to constitutional literature, this collection of ten unpublished decisions by the Warren Court puts the decision making process of the Supreme Court in a new light. By following the major changes that occur in each case from the circulation of tentative majority opinions to the final issuance of opinion, the book portrays how the justices communicate with each other and how they are influenced by each other's arguments. Interpretations and

commentaries by the author illuminate the significance of each case  
and provide insight into the different judicial philosophies a

---