

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910784283703321
Autore	Tortell Lisa Ann
Titolo	Monetary remedies for breach of human rights : a comparative study / / Lisa Tortell
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Oxford ; ; Portland, Oregon : , : Hart Publishing, , 2006
ISBN	1-4725-6381-6 1-280-82933-8 9786610829330 1-84731-289-6
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (236 p.)
Collana	Human rights law in perspective ; ; v. 9
Disciplina	342.085
Soggetti	Causes of actions Civil rights Compensation (Law) Remedies (Law)
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Half Title Page; Half Title verso; Title Page; Title verso; Series Editor's Preface; Acknowledgements; Contents; Table of Cases; 1. Introduction; Part I: Jurisdictions; 2. United States of America: Section 1983 and Bivens; 3. India: Article 32; 4. New Zealand: Baigent's Case; Part II: Comparative Analysis; 5. Structure of the Cause of Action; 6. Overarching Influences; Part III: Turning the Analysis Around; 7. The United Kingdom Human Rights Act 1998; 8. Conclusion; Index.
Sommario/riassunto	"This book constructs a framework which allows a greater understanding of domestic causes of action for breaches of human rights sounding in a monetary remedy. The first part describes the cause of action in three jurisdictions: the United States of America, India and New Zealand. The second part discusses two insights resulting from a comparative analysis of these three jurisdictions. The first is a list of four common questions that, when answered, structure the cause of action. These questions address what the cause of action protects, who the cause of action protects, against whom the cause of action is directed, and what the court orders. The second is a list of

four overarching influences that affected the answers given to those questions in the three jurisdictions, so completing the structure of the causes of action. These influences are the cause of action's source, age, wider context and internal context. Putting these two chapters together provides a generalised outline of the causes of action. In the third part of the book the analysis is turned around. The generalised framework is assessed as a way in which to categorise the development and shape of the cause of action in England under the Human Rights Act 1998. The book concludes that a generic structure of the cause of action is common to the three jurisdictions studied and that the differences between the jurisdictions can be explained by influences that affect the causes of action in different ways. Further, this generalised framework is of relevance beyond the three jurisdictions from which it was drawn; it can be used as a guide by other jurisdictions in which such a cause of action either exists or will develop in the future."--Bloomsbury Publishing.
