

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910784273903321
Autore	Pierceson Jason
Titolo	Courts Liberalism And Rights [[electronic resource]] : Gay Law And Politics In The United States and Canada
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Philadelphia, : Temple University Press, 2008
ISBN	1-281-09399-8 9786611093990 1-59213-402-5
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (265 p.)
Collana	Queer Politics Queer Theories
Disciplina	306.7660973 342.7108/7 342.71087
Soggetti	Canada Courts Gay rights Homosexuality Law and legislation Liberalism United States Homosexuality - Law and legislation - United States Gay rights - Law and legislation - United States Homosexuality - Canada Gay rights - Canada Constitutional Law - U.S Law - U.S Law, Politics & Government
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di contenuto	Contents; Acknowledgments; 1 Introduction; 2 U.S. Federal Courts and Gay Rights: A History of Hesitancy; 3 Liberalism and Gay Politics: Rights and Their Critics; 4 Toward a Better Liberalism; 5 Sodomy Laws, Courts, and Liberalism; 6 Lessons from Continued Sodomy Adjudication; 7

Courts and Same-Sex Marriage in the United States: Hawaii and Alaska; 8
Courts and Same-Sex Marriage in the United States: Vermont; 9
Developments after Vermont: An Evolving Jurisprudence and Its
Backlash; 10 Canada: Rethinking Courts, Rights, and Liberalism; 11
Courts, Social Change, and the Power of Legal Liberalism
12 ConclusionNotes; Index

Sommario/riassunto

In the courts, the best chance for achieving a broad set of rights for gays and lesbians lies with judges who view liberalism as grounded in an expansion of rights rather than a constraint of government activity. At a time when most gay and lesbian politics focuses only on the issue of gay marriage, *Courts, Liberalism, and Rights* guides readers through a nuanced discussion of liberalism, court rulings on sodomy laws and same-sex marriage, and the comparative progress gays and lesbians have made via the courts in Canada. As debates continue about the ability of court
