

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910784168603321
Titolo	The public law/private law divide : une entente assez cordiale? = La distinction du droit public et du droit privé : regards français et britanniques / edited by Mark Freedland and Jean-Bernard Auby
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Oxford ; Portland, Oregon, : Hart Publishing, 2006
ISBN	1-4725-5982-7 1-280-80802-0 9786610808021 1-84731-059-1
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (269 p.)
Collana	Studies of the Oxford Institute of European and Comparative Law ; v. 2
Disciplina	342
Soggetti	Public law - France Public law - Great Britain Civil law - France Civil law - Great Britain Comparative law
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Papers from a series of joint seminars between colleagues from the University of Paris II and the Oxford University Law Faculty held in Oxford in July 2000 and in Paris in July 2001 Extended and re-ordered version of papers previously published in 2004 by LGDJ, Paris
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references
Nota di contenuto	INTRODUCTION GENERALE -- GENERAL INTRODUCTION -- PREMIERE PARTIE -- PART ONE -- APPROCHES FRANÇAISES -- THE FRENCH VISION -- DEUXIÈME PARTIE -- PART TWO -- THE BRITISH VISION -- APPROCHES BRITANNIQUES
Sommario/riassunto	The contributions brought together in this book derive from joint seminars, held by scholars between colleagues from the University of Oxford and the University of Paris II. Their starting point is the original divergence between the two jurisdictions, with the initial rejection of the public-private divide in English Law, but on the other hand its total acceptance as natural in French Law. Then, they go on to demonstrate that the two systems have converged, the British one towards a certain

degree of acceptance of the division, the French one towards a growing questioning of it. However this is not the only part of the story, since both visions are now commonly coloured and affected by European Law and by globalisation, which introduces new tensions into our legal understanding of what is "public" and what is "private"
