

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910782953203321
Autore	Morgan Kathryn A.
Titolo	Myth and philosophy from the Presocratics to Plato / / Kathryn A. Morgan [[electronic resource]]
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cambridge : , : Cambridge University Press, , 2000
ISBN	1-107-11486-1 1-280-41879-6 0-511-17350-4 0-511-01767-7 0-511-15261-2 0-511-32501-0 0-511-48254-X 0-511-05044-5
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (viii, 313 pages) : digital, PDF file(s)
Disciplina	180
Soggetti	Philosophy, Ancient Myth
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 05 Oct 2015).
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. 292-301) and indexes.
Nota di contenuto	; 1. Introduction -- ; 2. Theoretical issues. Textualisation and the rise of philosophy. From mythos to logos? Some theoretical implications -- ; 3. Some Presocratics. The exclusionary gesture: Xenophanes, Herakleitos, and Empedokles. Allegory and rationalisation. Parmenides -- ; 4. The sophists and their contemporaries. Philology and exegesis. Mythological displays -- ; 5. The Protagoras: Platonic myth in the making. Protagoras' 'Great Speech'. Why mythos? Structure and assumptions. Sokrates and Prometheus. Conclusion: Sophistic versus Platonic myth -- ; 6. The range of Platonic myth. Problems of vocabulary, problems of selection. Categories of Platonic myth. Exhortation, play, and childishness. Myth and the limits of language.
Sommario/riassunto	This book explores the dynamic relationship between myth and philosophy in the Presocratics, the Sophists, and in Plato - a relationship which is found to be more extensive and programmatic

than has been recognized. The story of philosophy's relationship with myth is that of its relationship with literary and social convention. The intellectuals studied here wanted to reformulate popular ideas about cultural authority and they achieved this goal by manipulating myth. Their self-conscious use of myth creates a self-reflective philosophic sensibility and draws attention to problems inherent in different modes of linguistic representation. Much of the reception of Greek philosophy stigmatizes myth as 'irrational'. Such an approach ignores the important role played by myth in Greek philosophy, not just as a foil but as a mode of philosophical thought. The case studies in this book reveal myth deployed as a result of methodological reflection, and as a manifestation of philosophical concerns.

---