Record Nr. UNINA9910782894403321 Autore Arato Andrew Titolo Constitution making under occupation [[electronic resource]]: the politics of imposed revolution in Iraq / / Andrew Arato New York,: Columbia University Press, c2009 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-282-79638-0 9786612796388 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (377 p.) Columbia studies in political thought / political history Collana Disciplina 342.567 Constitutional law - Iraq Soggetti Constitutional history - Iraq Postwar reconstruction - Iraq Iraq Politics and government 2003-Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Description based upon print version of record. Note generali Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di bibliografia The externally imposed revolution and its destruction of the Iraqi State Nota di contenuto -- Postsovereign constitution making: the new paradigm (and Iraq) --Sistani versus Bremer: the emergence of the two-stage model in Iraq -- Imposition and bargaining in the making of the interim constitution -- The making of the "permanent" constitution. Sommario/riassunto The attempt in 2004 to draft an interim constitution in Iraq and the effort to enact a permanent one in 2005 were unintended outcomes of the American occupation, which first sought to impose a constitution by its agents. This two-stage constitution-making paradigm, implemented in a wholly unplanned move by the Iragis and their American sponsors, formed a kind of compromise between the populist-democratic project of Shi'ite clerics and America's external interference. As long as it was used in a coherent and legitimate way, the method held promise. Unfortunately, the logic of external imposition and political exclusion compromised the negotiations. Andrew Arato is the first person to record this historic process and analyze its special problems. He compares the drafting of the Iraqi

> constitution to similar, externally imposed constitutional revolutions by the United States, especially in Japan and Germany, and identifies the

political missteps that contributed to problems of learning and legitimacy. Instead of claiming that the right model of constitution making would have maintained stability in Iraq, Arato focuses on the fragile opportunity for democratization that was strengthened only slightly by the methods used to draft a constitution. Arato contends that this event would have benefited greatly from an overall framework of internationalization, and he argues that a better set of guidelines (rather than the obsolete Hague and Geneva regulations) should be followed in the future. With access to an extensive body of literature, Arato highlights the difficulty of exporting democracy to a country that opposes all such foreign designs and fundamentally disagrees on matters of political identity.