

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910782714103321
Autore	Vernon Richard <1945->
Titolo	The career of toleration : John Locke, Jonas Proast, and after / / Richard Vernon
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Montreal : , : McGill-Queen's University Press, , 1997 ©1997
ISBN	1-282-85653-7 9786612856532 0-7735-6416-0
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (164 pages)
Collana	McGill-Queen's studies in the history of ideas.
Disciplina	320.5
Soggetti	Toleration
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. [155]-161) and index.
Nota di contenuto	Front Matter -- Contents -- Acknowledgments -- Introduction -- The Argument from Belief -- Locke, Toleration, and Public Reason -- Toleration without Scepticism -- Slippery Slopes and Other Hazards -- Proast on Locke, Stephen on Mill: A Structure of Illiberalism? -- A Moral Pluralist Case for Toleration? -- From Toleration to Deliberation? -- Conclusion: What Is Living and What Is Dead in the Exchange between Locke and Proast? -- Bibliography -- Index
Sommario/riassunto	The Career of Toleration considers the Locke-Proast controversy from the standpoint of political theory, examining Locke's and Proast's texts and tracing their relationship to later discussions of toleration. Vernon reconstructs the grounds of the dispute, drawing attention to the long-term importance of the arguments and evaluating their relative strength. He then examines issues of toleration in later contexts, specifically James Fitzjames Stephen's critique of John Stuart Mill, the perfectionist alternative to contractualist liberalism, and the view that the traditional attachment to toleration must, by the force of its own arguments, move from liberalism to a defence of a much stronger form of democracy. Arguing that Locke's and Proast's exchange marks a turning point in the intellectual history that has helped to structure the terms of modern political debate, Vernon presents a solid case for

thinking that the exchange between Locke and Proast is as important  
for the twentieth century as it was for the seventeenth.

---