

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910782620003321
Autore	Mills Lisa Nicole <1967->
Titolo	Science and Social Context: The Regulation of Recombinant Bovine Growth Hormone in North America [[electronic resource]]
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Montral, QC, CAN, : McGill-Queen's University Press, 20020101 McGill-Queen's University Press
ISBN	1-282-86052-6 9786612860522 0-7735-7027-6
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (222 pages)
Disciplina	636.2/0892405
Soggetti	Recombinant bovine somatotropin - Government policy - United States Recombinant bovine somatotropin - Government policy - Canada Recombinant bovine somatotropin - Economic aspects - Canada Health Policy Food Contamination Milk Growth Hormone Cattle Dairying Recombinant Proteins Proteins Agriculture Pituitary Hormones, Anterior Public Policy Ruminants Beverages Dairy Products Environmental Pollution Food Safety Bodily Secretions Amino Acids, Peptides, and Proteins Social Control Policies Food and Beverages Public Health Technology, Industry, and Agriculture Artiodactyla Fluids and Secretions

Food
Pituitary Hormones
Peptide Hormones
Mammals
Environment and Public Health
Policy
Social Control, Formal
Anatomy
Health Care
Sociology
Social Sciences
Peptides
Vertebrates
Health Care Economics and Organizations
Hormones
Hormones, Hormone Substitutes, and Hormone Antagonists
Chordata
Animals
Eukaryota
Veterinary Medicine
Animal Sciences
Health & Biological Sciences
Earth & Environmental Sciences

Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di contenuto	Front Matter -- Contents -- Acknowledgments -- Chronology -- Acronyms -- Overview -- The Economic Context: The Political Economy of Agricultural Biotechnology -- The Political Context in the United States -- The Political Context in Canada -- The Scientific Debate -- Conclusion -- Notes -- Bibliography -- Index
Sommario/riassunto	She examines the decision-making processes at Monsanto that led to their making the drug available and discusses corporate, academic, and regulatory decision-making in the context of a restructured global political economy for agriculture. Mills shows that there was consensus about the scientific evidence but interpretation of that evidence differed depending on the context from which it was viewed. Scientists who analysed it for regulatory bodies interpreted it differently than scientists in corporate or academic institutions, and scientists in Canada and Europe interpreted it differently than those in the United States. In the United States it was assumed that any problems arising from its use could be taken care of within the existing dairy system; in Canada and Europe these problems were regarded as legitimate animal welfare issues. While all regulatory bodies agreed that human health problems were unlikely, in Canada the Health Protection Branch questioned this, but ultimately rejected the drug on animal health grounds.
