

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910782529403321
Autore	Yoo John
Titolo	The powers of war and peace [[electronic resource] ] : the constitution and foreign affairs after 9/11 / / John Yoo
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Chicago, : University of Chicago Press, c2005
ISBN	1-281-96688-6 9786611966881 0-226-96033-1
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (380 p.)
Disciplina	343.73/01
Soggetti	War and emergency powers - United States United States Foreign relations Law and legislation
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. 305-355) and index.
Nota di contenuto	The eighteenth-century Anglo-American Constitution and foreign affairs -- Foreign affairs and the prelude to the constitution -- Writing and ratifying a foreign affairs constitution -- War powers for a new world -- International politics as law?: interpreting and ending treaties -- Treaties and the legislative power -- Laws as treaties?: statutes as international agreements -- The constitution and the multilateral future.
Sommario/riassunto	Since the September 11 attacks on the United States, the Bush administration has come under fire for its methods of combating terrorism. Waging war against al Qaeda has proven to be a legal quagmire, with critics claiming that the administration's response in Afghanistan and Iraq is unconstitutional. The war on terror-and, in a larger sense, the administration's decision to withdraw from the ABM Treaty and the Kyoto accords-has many wondering whether the constitutional framework for making foreign affairs decisions has been discarded by the present administration. John Yoo, formerly a lawyer in the Department of Justice, here makes the case for a completely new approach to understanding what the Constitution says about foreign affairs, particularly the powers of war and peace. Looking to American history, Yoo points out that from Truman and Korea to Clinton's

intervention in Kosovo, American presidents have had to act decisively on the world stage without a declaration of war. They are able to do so, Yoo argues, because the Constitution grants the president, Congress, and the courts very different powers, requiring them to negotiate the country's foreign policy. Yoo roots his controversial analysis in a brilliant reconstruction of the original understanding of the foreign affairs power and supplements it with arguments based on constitutional text, structure, and history. Accessibly blending historical arguments with current policy debates, *The Powers of War and Peace* will no doubt be hotly debated. And while the questions it addresses are as old and fundamental as the Constitution itself, America's response to the September 11 attacks has renewed them with even greater force and urgency. "Can the president of the United States do whatever he likes in wartime without oversight from Congress or the courts? This year, the issue came to a head as the Bush administration struggled to maintain its aggressive approach to the detention and interrogation of suspected enemy combatants in the war on terrorism. But this was also the year that the administration's claims about presidential supremacy received their most sustained intellectual defense [in] *The Powers of War and Peace*."-Jeffrey Rosen, *New York Times* "Yoo's theory promotes frank discussion of the national interest and makes it harder for politicians to parade policy conflicts as constitutional crises. Most important, Yoo's approach offers a way to renew our political system's democratic vigor."-David B. Rivkin Jr. and Carlos Ramos-Mrosovsky, *National Review*

---