1. Record Nr. UNINA9910782434803321

Autore Powell Jefferson <1954->

Titolo Constitutional conscience [[electronic resource]]: the moral dimension

of judicial decision / / H. Jefferson Powell

Pubbl/distr/stampa Chicago,: University of Chicago Press, 2008

1-281-96624-X 9786611966249 0-226-67730-3

Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (161 p.)

Disciplina 347.73/2634

Soggetti Judicial process - United States

Justice, Administration of - United States

Judges - United States

Judicial discretion - United States Constitutional law - United States

Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese

Formato Materiale a stampa

Livello bibliografico Monografia

Note generali Description based upon print version of record.

Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index.

Nota di contenuto The rule of five -- Playing the game -- A question of degree -- Men

and women of goodwill -- Making it up as we go along.

Sommario/riassunto While many recent observers have accused American judges-especially

Supreme Court justices-of being too driven by politics and ideology, others have argued that judges are justified in using their positions to advance personal views. Advocating a different approach-one that eschews ideology but still values personal perspective-H. Jefferson Powell makes a compelling case for the centrality of individual

conscience in constitutional decision making. Powell argues that almost every controversial decision has more than one constitutionally defensible resolution. In such cases, he goes on to contend, the language and ideals of the Constitution require judges to decide in good faith, exercising what Powell calls the constitutional virtues: candor, intellectual honesty, humility about the limits of constitutional adjudication, and willingness to admit that they do not have all the answers. Constitutional Conscience concludes that the need for these qualities in judges-as well as lawyers and citizens-is implicit in our

constitutional practices, and that without them judicial review would forfeit both its own integrity and the credibility of the courts themselves.