1.	Record Nr.	UNINA9910782241403321
	Autore	Shields Mary E
	Titolo	Circumscribing the prostitute [[electronic resource]] : the rhetorics of intertextuality, metaphor and gender in Jeremiah 3.1-4.4 / / Mary E. Shields
	Pubbl/distr/stampa	London ; ; New York, : T&T Clark, c2004
	ISBN	1-281-80242-5 9786611802424 0-8264-3536-X
	Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (201 p.)
	Collana	Journal for the study of the Old Testament. Supplement series ; ; 387
	Disciplina	224 224.206 224/.206
	Soggetti	Rhetoric in the Bible
	Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
	Formato	Materiale a stampa
	Livello bibliografico	Monografia
	Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
	Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and indexes.
	Nota di contenuto	Contents; Acknowledgments; Abbreviations; Introduction; Chapter 1 INTERTEXTUALITY AS ALLUSION: A FIRST READING OF JEREMIAH 3.1-5; Chapter 2 GENDER CONSTRUCTION AND INTERTEXTUALITY OF CULTURE: A SECOND READING OF JEREMIAH 3.1-5; Chapter 3 JEREMIAH 3.6-11: A NARRATIVE INTERPRETATION OF JEREMIAH 3.1-5; Chapter 4 JEREMIAH 3.12-13: THE IMPOSSIBLE MADE POSSIBLE; Chapter 5 JEREMIAH 3.14-18: A MODEL FOR THE FUTURE; Chapter 6 JEREMIAH 3.19-20: SET AMONG THE SONS-ISRAEL AS FAITHLESS DAUGHTER; Chapter 7 JEREMIAH 3.21-25: A LITURGY OF REPENTANCE; Chapter 8 JEREMIAH 4.1-4: THE REQUIREMENTS FOR RETURN Chapter 9 NEW SIGHTS FROM AN OLD SEER: RHETORICAL STRATEGIES AND JEREMIAH 3.1-4.4Bibliography; Index of References; Index of Authors
	Sommario/riassunto	In Jeremiah 3.1-4.4 the prophet employs the image of Israel as God's unfaithful wife, who acts like a prostitute. The entire passage is a rich and complex rhetorical tapestry designed to convince the people of Israel of the error of their political and religious ways, and their need to change before it is too late. As well as metaphor and gender, another

important thread in the tapestry is intertextuality, according to which
the historical, political and social contexts of both author and reader
enter into dialogue and thus produce different interpretations. But, as
Shields shows in her final