Record Nr. UNINA9910781657003321 Autore Richter Andrew <1965-> Titolo Avoiding Armageddon [[electronic resource]]: Canadian military strategy and nuclear weapons, 1950-63 / / Andrew Richter Vancouver, : UBC Press, 2002 Pubbl/distr/stampa 0-7748-5036-1 **ISBN** 1-283-12980-9 9786613129802 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (224 p.) Collana Studies in Canadian military history, , 1499-6251 Disciplina 355/.033071 Nuclear weapons - Government policy - Canada - History Soggetti Arms control - Government policy - Canada Canada Strategic aspects Canada Military policy Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di contenuto The defense and security environment, 1945-9 -- Canada's air defense debate -- Canadian views on nuclear weapons and related issues of strategy -- The Canadian debate on the acquisition of nuclear weapons -- Canadian conceptual understanding of arms control -- Links between Canadian strategic thinking and defence policy, 1950-63. Sommario/riassunto The advent of nuclear weapons in the 1940s brought enormous changes to doctrines regarding the use of force in resolving disputes. American strategists have been widely credited with most of these; Canadians, most have assumed, did not conduct their own strategic analysis. Avoiding Armageddon soundly debunks this notion. Drawing on previously classified government records, Richter reveals that Canadian defence officials did come to independent strategic understandings of the most critical issues of the nuclear age. Canadian appreciation of deterrence, arms control, and strategic stability differed conceptually from the US models. Similarly, Canadian thinking on the controversial issues of air defence and the domestic acquisition of nuclear weapons was primarily influenced by decidedly Canadian

interests. Avoiding Armageddon is a work with far-reaching

implications. It illustrates Canada's considerable latitude for independent defence thinking while providing key historical information that helps make sense of the contemporary Canadian defence debate.