Record Nr. UNINA9910781562103321 Autore Dane Joseph A **Titolo** Who is buried in Chaucer's tomb? [[electronic resource]]: studies in the reception of Chaucer's book / / Joseph A. Dane East Lansing, : Michigan State University Press, c1998 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-62895-224-5 0-87013-907-X Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (320 p.) Disciplina 821/.1 Soggetti Literature publishing - Great Britain - History - 18th century Manuscripts, Medieval - England - Editing Manuscripts, English (Middle) - Editing Paleography, English Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Description based upon print version of record. Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di contenuto Contents; Introduction; Chapter 1: Who is Buried in Chaucer's Tomb?; Chapter 2: Who Wrote Chaucer's Workes?: The Authority of [William Thynne?]; Chapter 3: Toward a Typographical History of Chaucer: The Blackletter Chaucer; Chapter 4: The Book and the Text: Two Studies on the Testament of Love; Chapter 5: [Chaucer's] Retraction and the Eighteenth-Century History of Printing: Chapter 6: The Reception of Chaucer's Eighteenth-Century Editors; Chapter 7: The Book and the Booklet; Chapter 8: Unbooking Chaucer: The Drama of Chaucer the Persona Chapter 9: Problems of Evidence in Modern Chaucer EditionsChapter Scribes as Critics; Conclusion: Chaucerus Noster and the Fine Press Chaucer: Notes: Works Cited Joseph A. Dane examines the history of the books we now know as Sommario/riassunto ""Chaucer's""-a history that includes printers and publishers, editors, antiquarians, librarians, and book collectors. The Chaucer at issue here is not a medieval poet, securely bound within his fourteenth-century context, but rather the product of the often chaotic history of the

physical books that have been produced and marketed in his name. This history involves a series of myths about Chaucer-a reformist

Chaucer, a realist Chaucer, a political and critical Chaucer who seems oddly like us. It also involves more self