

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910781426003321
Autore	Walton Douglas N
Titolo	Topical relevance in argumentation // Douglas N. Walton
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Amsterdam ; ; Philadelphia, : J. Benjamins, 1982
ISBN	1-283-35955-3 9786613359551 90-272-8057-6
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (89 pages)
Collana	Pragmatics & beyond, , 0166-6258 ; ; 3:8
Disciplina	160
Soggetti	Reasoning Relevance (Philosophy) Debates and debating Logic
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliography (p. 76-79) and index.
Nota di contenuto	TOPICAL RELEVANCE IN ARGUMENTATION; Editorial page; Title page; Copyright page; Dedication; Acknowledgements; Table of contents; 1. CONVERSATIONAL ALLEGATIONS OF IRRELEVANCE; 1.0 Objective of Study; 1.1 Dual Nature of Pragmatic Analysis; 1.2 Disputation Theory; 1.3 Standard Preconceptions of Irrelevance; 1.4 Fallacies of Emotional Distraction; 1.5 Ad Misericordiam Arguments; 1.6 A Contrastive Case Study; 1.7 The Ad Hominem Fallacy; 1.8 Relevant Answers to Questions; IMMIGRATION TIMOTHY LEARY: REASON ALLOWED TO ENTER CANADA; 1.9 A Second Case Study of Question-Relevance ENERGY SALE OF GASOLINE TO UNITED STATES CITIZENS 1.10 Function of Questioning in Parliamentary Debate; 2. PROPOSITIONAL INFERENCES IN DISPUTATION; 2.0 Relevance in Games of Dialogue; 2.1 Refutation and Propositional Structure; 2.2 Classical Propositional Logic: Basic Elements; 2.3 Valid Arguments in Classical Logic; 2.4 Astounding Inferences in Classical Logic; 2.5 Relatedness Propositional Logic: Basic Elements; 2.6 Valid Arguments in Relatedness Logic; 2.7 The Astounding Inferences Revisited; 2.8 The Propositional Core of Disputation; 3. PARADOXES, SOPHISMS AND RELATEDNESS 3.0 The Meaning of Relatedness 3.1 Act-Sequences and Relatedness;

3.2 Subject-Matter Contents of Propositions; 3.3 Paradoxes and Astounding Inferences; 3.4 Missing Factors; 3.5 Needed Premises in Inferences; 3.6 Irrelevant Premises; 3.7. Pluralism of Concepts of Relevance; 3.8 Information Inclusion; 4. CRITICISMS OF IRRELEVANCE IN GAMES OF DIALOGUE; 4.0 Six Types of Criticisms of Irrelevance; 4.1 Varieties of Games; 4.2 Strong and Weak Refutation; 4.3 Symmetrical and Asymmetrical Games; 4.4 Misconception of Refutation; 4.5 Pertinence; 4.6 Question-Answer Relevance 4.7 Types of Questions and Answers 4.8 Rules of Responding; 4.9 Types of Relevance Compared; 4.10 Conditionals in Disputation; NOTES; REFERENCES; INDEX

Sommario/riassunto

It is a longstanding if not altogether coherent tradition of logic and rhetorical studies that an argument can be incorrect or fallacious in virtue of some proposition in it being "irrelevant". This monograph clarifies that tradition. Non-classical propositional calculi, including relevance logics and relatedness logics, are juxtaposed against conversational criticisms of irrelevance in natural argumentation, e.g. in parliamentary debates. The object is to see if there is a reasonable way of evaluating criticisms like "That's beside the point!" or "That's irrelevant!".
