

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910781347703321
Autore	Miller Kenneth W (Kenneth Wayne)
Titolo	Death justice [[electronic resource]] : Rehnquist, Scalia, Thomas and the contradictions of the death penalty / / Kenneth W. Miller and David Niven
Pubbl/distr/stampa	El Paso, : LFB Scholarly Pub., 2009
ISBN	1-59332-545-2
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (246 p.)
Altri autori (Persone)	NivenDavid <1971->
Disciplina	345.73/0773
Soggetti	Capital punishment - United States
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. 219-232) and index.
Nota di contenuto	Consistency is the very foundation of the rule of law -- The death penalty in american law and politics -- Speaking for the public in death penalty decisions -- Defending the states in death penalty decisions -- The wisdom of jurors in death penalty decisions -- The legal and political implications -- The culture battle -- Death penalty policy: breaking the mold.
Sommario/riassunto	Justice Scalia has warned of the danger of fallacies that pass for truth simply because they are frequently repeated. Death Justice argues that one fallacy that passes for truth is the widely held notion that Justices Rehnquist, Scalia, and Thomas applied a fixed standard--itself based on a fixed meaning of the Constitution--to death penalty cases. In defiance of their judicial rhetoric, their conclusions simply defy their, or any, conception of consistency. And without a logical, consistent foundation, their findings on the death penalty come to resemble little more than personal political