1. Record Nr. UNINA9910781050903321 Autore Hollywood Amy M. <1963-> **Titolo** Sensible ecstasy: mysticism, sexual difference, and the demands of history / / Amy Hollywood Chicago:,: University of Chicago Press,, 2002 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-282-50425-8 9786612504259 0-226-34946-2 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (xv, 371 pages) Collana Religion and postmodernism Disciplina 248.2/2/09 248.2209 Soggetti Mysticism - Psychology - History Women mystics - Psychology - History Philosophy, French - 20th century Psychoanalysis and religion - France - History - 20th century Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references (p. 279-357) and index. Nota di contenuto Frontmatter -- Contents -- Acknowledgments -- Abbreviations --Introduction -- 1. Georges Bataille, Mystique -- 2. (En)gendering Mysticism -- 3. Feminism, Mysticism, and Belief -- Conclusion --Notes -- Index Sensible Ecstasy investigates the attraction to excessive forms of Sommario/riassunto mysticism among twentieth-century French intellectuals and demonstrates the work that the figure of the mystic does for these thinkers. With special attention to Georges Bataille, Simone de Beauvoir, Jacques Lacan, and Luce Irigaray, Amy Hollywood asks why resolutely secular, even anti-Christian intellectuals are drawn to affective, bodily, and widely denigrated forms of mysticism. What is particular to these thinkers, Hollywood reveals, is their attention to forms of mysticism associated with women. They regard mystics such as Angela of Foligno, Hadewijch, and Teresa of Avila not as emotionally excessive or escapist, but as unique in their ability to think outside of the restrictive oppositions that continue to afflict our understanding of subjectivity,

the body, and sexual difference. Mystics such as these, like their

twentieth-century descendants, bridge the gaps between action and contemplation, emotion and reason, and body and soul, offering new ways of thinking about language and the limits of representation.