

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910780995103321
Autore	Gould Jon B
Titolo	Speak no evil [[electronic resource]] : the triumph of hate speech regulation / / Jon B. Gould
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Chicago, : University of Chicago Press, c2005
ISBN	9786612537936 0-226-30513-9 1-282-53793-8
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (255 p.)
Classificazione	HF 610
Disciplina	345.73/0256
Soggetti	Hate speech - United States - History Race discrimination - Law and legislation - United States Freedom of speech - United States
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. 203-234) and index.
Nota di contenuto	Background and chronology -- Theoretical implications -- The rise of hate speech codes -- The courts act -- While they slept -- The triumph of hate speech regulation.
Sommario/riassunto	Opponents of speech codes often argue that liberal academics use the codes to advance an agenda of political correctness. But Jon B. Gould's provocative book, based on an enormous amount of empirical evidence, reveals that the real reasons for their growth are to be found in the pragmatic, almost utilitarian, considerations of college administrators. Instituting hate speech policy, he shows, was often a symbolic response taken by university leaders to reassure campus constituencies of their commitment against intolerance. In an academic version of "keeping up with the Joneses," some schools created hate speech codes to remain within what they saw as the mainstream of higher education. Only a relatively small number of colleges crafted codes out of deep commitment to their merits. Although college speech codes have been overturned by the courts, Speak No Evil argues that their rise has still had a profound influence on curtailing speech in other institutions such as the media and has also shaped mass opinion and common understandings of constitutional norms. Ultimately, Gould

contends, this kind of informal law can have just as much power as the Constitution.
