

| | |
|-------------------------|---|
| 1. Record Nr. | UNINA9910452237803321 |
| Titolo | Stalking [[electronic resource]] : psychiatric perspectives and practical approaches / / Group for the Advancement of Psychiatry, Committee on Psychiatry and the Law ; edited by Debra A. Pinals |
| Pubbl/distr/stampa | New York, : Oxford University Press, 2007 |
| ISBN | 0-19-756238-8 1-281-52905-2 9786611529055 0-19-804092-X |
| Descrizione fisica | 1 online resource (281 p.) |
| Collana | Oxford scholarship online |
| Altri autori (Persone) | PinalsDebra A |
| Disciplina | 362.2/0425 |
| Soggetti | Stalking - Psychological aspects Stalking Electronic books. |
| Lingua di pubblicazione | Inglese |
| Formato | Materiale a stampa |
| Livello bibliografico | Monografia |
| Note generali | Previously issued in print: 2007. |
| Nota di bibliografia | Includes bibliographical references and index. |
| Nota di contenuto | Foreword; Contents; List of Contributors; Part I: Introduction; 1. Stalking: Introduction, Definition, and Epidemiology; Part II: Clinical Aspects of Stalking; 2. Stalking: Classification and Typology; 3. Stalking Risk Assessment; 4. Risk Management of Stalking; 5. Stalking: Perspectives on Victims and Management; Part III: Stalking and the Law; 6. Trends in Antistalking Legislation; 7. Stalking, Competence to Stand Trial, and Criminal Responsibility; Part IV: Special Issues; 8. Juvenile Aspects of Stalking; 9. Cyberstalking; 10. Celebrity and Presidential Targets; Index; A; B; C; D; E; F; G H; I; J; K; L; M; N; O; P; R; S; T; U; V; W; X; Z |
| Sommario/riassunto | This book provides up-to-date information on a variety of areas within stalking research, including practical approaches to stalking risk assessment and management, along with unique information related to celebrity stalking, cyberstalking, and forensic assessment. |

| | |
|-------------------------|---|
| 2. Record Nr. | UNINA9910780600903321 |
| Autore | Prochazka Radoslav |
| Titolo | Mission accomplished [[electronic resource]] : on founding constitutional adjudication in Central Europe / / by Radoslav Prochazka |
| Pubbl/distr/stampa | Budapest ; ; New York, : Central European University Press, 2002 |
| ISBN | 9786155211225 978-6-15521-122-5 615-5211-22-1 1-281-37680-9 9786611376802 0-585-46539-8 |
| Descrizione fisica | xiv, 358 p |
| Disciplina | 347.47/012 |
| Soggetti | Constitutional courts - Europe, Eastern Constitutional law - Europe, Eastern Judicial review - Europe, Eastern Political questions and judicial power - Europe, Eastern Post-communism - Europe, Eastern |
| Lingua di pubblicazione | Inglese |
| Formato | Materiale a stampa |
| Livello bibliografico | Monografia |
| Note generali | Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph |
| Nota di bibliografia | Includes bibliographical references (p. [329]-346) and index. |
| Nota di contenuto | Frontmatter -- CONTENTS -- PREFACE -- LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS -- LIST OF TABLES -- LIST OF FIGURES -- Introduction -- PART I -- Chapter 1 Establishing Constitutional Review -- Chapter 2 Designing Constitutional Review -- PART II -- Chapter 3 Adjudicative Approaches -- Chapter 4 Interpretive Techniques -- Chapter 5 Founding and Beyond -- NOTES -- BIBLIOGRAPHY -- TABLES -- INDEX |
| Sommario/riassunto | Examines constitutional jurisdiction in the so-called Visegrad Four: Poland, Hungary, the Czech Republic and Slovakia. The creation of constitutional courts was one of the major milestones in the re-creation of the democratic system in these countries. In Europe constitutional courts exert much of the functions of the Supreme Court of the US. However, the immediate western European samples showed marked differences, which is why besides similarities, the theory and |

practice of constitutional law show differences in these four countries. Procházka analyses and explains these similarities and differences. *Mission Accomplished* contributes to the literature on comparative constitutional law by offering insights into the constitutional discourses that go beyond the discussion of notorious cases and events in these four countries. Procházka argues that the various historical, cultural, socio-psychological, political and institutional contexts have translated into different modes of constitutional adjudication and interpretation.
