Record Nr. UNINA9910780600903321 Autore Prochazka Radoslav Titolo Mission accomplished [[electronic resource]]: on founding constitutional adjudication in Central Europe / / by Radoslav Prochazka Budapest;; New York,: Central European University Press, 2002 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 9786155211225 978-6-15521-122-5 615-5211-22-1 1-281-37680-9 9786611376802 0-585-46539-8 Descrizione fisica xiv, 358 p Disciplina 347.47/012 Soggetti Constitutional courts - Europe, Eastern Constitutional law - Europe, Eastern Judicial review - Europe, Eastern Political questions and judicial power - Europe, Eastern Post-communism - Europe, Eastern Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph Includes bibliographical references (p. [329]-346) and index. Nota di bibliografia Frontmatter -- CONTENTS -- PREFACE -- LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS --Nota di contenuto LIST OF TABLES -- LIST OF FIGURES -- Introduction -- PART I --Chapter 1 Establishing Constitutional Review -- Chapter 2 Designing Constitutional Review -- PART II -- Chapter 3 Adjudicative Approaches -- Chapter 4 Interpretive Techniques -- Chapter 5 Founding and Beyond -- NOTES -- BIBLIOGRAPHY -- TABLES -- INDEX Sommario/riassunto Examines constitutional jurisdiction in the so-called Visegrad Four: Poland, Hungary, the Czech Republic and Slovakia. The creation of constitutional courts was one of the major milestones in the recreation of the democratic system in these countries. In Europe constitutional courts exert much of the functions of the Supreme Court of the US. However, the immediate western European samples showed

marked differences, which is why besides similarities, the theory and

practice of constitutional law show differences in these four countries. Procházka analyses and explains these similarities and differences. Mission Accomplished contributes to the literature on comparative constitutional law by offering insights into the constitutional discourses that go beyond the discussion of notorious cases and events in these four countries. Procházka argues that the various historical, cultural, socio-psychological, political and institutional contexts have translated into different modes of constitutional adjudication and interpretation.