Record Nr. UNINA9910780273903321 Autore Matz Robert Titolo Defending literature in early modern England: Renaissance literary theory in social context / / Robert Matz [[electronic resource]] Cambridge:,: Cambridge University Press,, 2000 Pubbl/distr/stampa 1-107-11794-1 **ISBN** 0-521-03518-X 0-511-31035-8 0-511-48377-5 1-280-16206-6 0-511-04862-9 0-511-11794-9 0-511-15084-9 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (xi, 188 pages) : digital, PDF file(s) Collana Cambridge studies in Renaissance literature and culture;; 37 801/.95/094209031 Disciplina English literature - Early modern, 1500-1700 - History and criticism -Soggetti Theory, etc. Literature and society - England - History - 16th century Social change - England - History - 16th century Criticism - England - History - 16th century Social change in literature Renaissance - England Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 05 Oct 2015). Note generali Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references (p. 172-181) and index. Nota di contenuto Introduction: "aut prodesse ... aut delectare" -- Recreating reading: Elyot's Boke named the governour -- Heroic diversions : Sidney's Defence of poetry -- A "gentle discipline" : Spenser's Faerie Queene --Epilogue: from text to work? Why was literature so often defended and defined in early modern Sommario/riassunto England in terms of its ability to provide the Horatian ideal of both profit and pleasure? This book, first published in 2000, analyses Renaissance literary theory in the context of social transformations of the period, focusing on conflicting ideas about gentility that emerged

as the English aristocracy evolved from a feudal warrior class to a civil elite. Through close readings centered on works by Thomas Elyot, Philip Sidney and Edmund Spenser, Matz argues that literature attempted to mediate a complex set of contradictory social expectations. His original study engages with important theoretical work such as Pierre Bourdieu's and offers a substantial critique of New Historicist theory. It challenges recent accounts of the power of Renaissance authorship, emphasizing the uncertain status of literature during this time of cultural change, and sheds light on why and how canonical works became canonical.