

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910780028303321
Autore	Calvin Jean <1509-1564.>
Titolo	A reformation debate [[electronic resource]] : Sadoletto's letter to the Genevans and Calvin's reply / / John Calvin & Jacopo Sadoletto ; edited with an introduction, by John C. Olin ; with an appendix on the Justification Controversy
Pubbl/distr/stampa	New York, : Fordham University Press, 2000
ISBN	0-8232-3657-9 0-8232-4713-9 1-282-69856-7 9786612698569 0-8232-3966-7 0-8232-1992-5 0-585-41669-9
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (141 p.)
Altri autori (Persone)	SadolettoJacopo <1477-1547.>
Disciplina	230/.42/092
Soggetti	Church - Authority Justification (Christian theology) Reformation
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Originally published: New York : Harper & Row, 1966.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references.
Nota di contenuto	Front matter -- Contents -- Preface -- Introduction -- Sadoletto's Letter to the Genevans -- Calvin's Reply to Sadoletto -- Appendix: I Calvin on Justification -- Appendix II The Council of Trent on Justification
Sommario/riassunto	In 1539, Cardinal Jacopo Sadoletto, Bishop of Carpentras, addressed a letter to the magistrates and citizens of Geneva, asking them to return to the Roman Catholic faith. John Calvin replied to Sadoletto, defending the adoption of the Protestant reforms. Sadoletto's letter and Calvin's reply constitute one of the most interesting exchanges of Roman Catholic/Protestant views during the Reformation and an excellent introduction to the great religious controversy of the sixteenth century. These statements are not in vacuo of a Roman Catholic and Protestant

position. They were drafted in the midst of the religious conflict that was then dividing Europe. And they reflect too the temperaments and personal histories of the men who wrote them. Sadoletto's letter has an irenic approach, an emphasis on the unity and peace of the Church, highly characteristic of the Christian Humanism he represented. Calvin's reply is in part a personal defense, an *apologia pro vita sua*, that records his own religious experience. And its taut, comprehensive argument is characteristic of the disciplined and logical mind of the author of *The Institutes of the Christian Religion*.
