

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910779642803321
Autore	Smith James
Titolo	Issues in Extractive Resource Taxation : : A Review of Research Methods and Models // James Smith
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Washington, D.C. : , : International Monetary Fund, , 2012
ISBN	1-58906-287-6 1-4755-9247-7
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (27 p.)
Collana	IMF Working Papers
Soggetti	<p>Mineral industries - Taxation  Industries - Taxation  Investments: Energy  Taxation  Natural Resource Extraction  Efficiency  Optimal Taxation  Business Taxes and Subsidies  Mining, Extraction, and Refining: Hydrocarbon Fuels  Mining, Extraction, and Refining: Other Nonrenewable Resources  Exhaustible Resources and Economic Development  Nonrenewable Resources and Conservation: Government Policy  Energy: General  Industry Studies: Primary Products and Construction: General  Taxation, Subsidies, and Revenue: General  Investment &amp; securities  Extractive industries  Public finance &amp; taxation  Oil  Mining sector  Oil, gas and mining taxes  Marginal effective tax rate  Commodities  Economic sectors  Taxes  Tax policy  Petroleum industry and trade  Mineral industries  Tax administration and procedure</p>

	United States
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references.
Nota di contenuto	Cover; Contents; I. Introduction; II. Literature Review; A. The Literature on Optimal Investment and Extraction; B. The Literature on Petroleum/Mineral Tax Policy; III. Conclusion; Table 1. Range of Permitted Behavioral Adaptations to Fiscal Stimuli: Model Comparisons; References
Sommario/riassunto	This paper provides a conceptual overview of economists' attempts to learn about the effects of taxes on extractive resources. The emphasis is on research methods and techniques, with no attempt to provide a comprehensive tabulation of previous empirical results or policy conclusions regarding preferred tax instruments or systems. We argue, in fact, that the nature of such conclusions largely depends on the researcher's choice of modeling framework. Many alternative frameworks and approaches have been developed in the literature. Our goal is to describe the differences among them and to note their strengths and limitations.