Record Nr. Autore Titolo Pubbl/distr/stampa	UNINA9910779536003321 Grindle Merilee <1945-> Jobs for the boys [[electronic resource]] : patronage and the state in comparative perspective / / Merilee S. Grindle Cambridge, Mass., : Harvard University Press, 2012
ISBN	0-674-07003-8 0-674-06518-2
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (296 p.)
Disciplina	324.2/04
Soggetti	Civil service Public officers - Selection and appointment Patronage, Political Civil service reform
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Introduction: Weber's ghost A system for all seasons Politics in the construction of reform Apres reform: deconstruction and reconstruction Latin America: patterns of patronage and politics Roots and branches Crafting reform: elite projects and political moments Ambiguous futures: the politics of implementation Conclusion: the politics of institutional creation and re-creation.
Sommario/riassunto	Patronage systems in the public service are universally reviled as undemocratic and corrupt. Yet patronage was the prevailing method of staffing government for centuries, and in some countries it still is. In Jobs for the Boys, Merilee Grindle considers why patronage has been so ubiquitous in history and explores the political processes through which it is replaced by merit-based civil service systems. Such reforms are consistently resisted, she finds, because patronage systems, though capricious, offer political executives flexibility to achieve a wide variety of objectives. Grindle looks at the histories of public sector reform in six developed countries and compares them with contemporary struggles for reform in four Latin American countries. A historical, case-based approach allows her to take into account contextual differences between countries as well as to identify cycles that govern

1.

reform across the board. As a rul systems involves years and some	e, she finds, transition to merit-based etimes decades of conflict and
compromise with supporters of pa	atronage, as new systems of public
· · · ·	I. Becoming aware of the limitations of
public sector reform, Grindle hop	es, will temper expectations for
institutional change now being ur	ndertaken.