

1. Record Nr.	UNISALENTO991001779059707536
Autore	Bauer, Johann Jacob
Titolo	Bibliotheca librorum rariorum universalis : 7 Teile in 3 Bänden / Johann Jacob Bauer
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Hildesheim ; New York : G. Olms, 1981
ISBN	3487071231 (Bd. 1) 348707124X (Bd. 2) 3487071258 (Bd. 3)
Descrizione fisica	3 v. ; 20 cm
Disciplina	011.44
Soggetti	Libri rari, antichi e di pregio - Bibliografia
Lingua di pubblicazione	Latino
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Riprod. facs. dell'ed.: Nürnberg 1774-91
Nota di contenuto	Bd. 1.: Teil 1-3. Bd. 2.: 4. Teil und 1. Supplement Bd. 3.: 2. und 3. Supplement

2. Record Nr.	UNINA9910779500503321
Autore	López-Espinosa Germán
Titolo	Systemic Risk and Asymmetric Responses in the Financial Industry // Germán López-Espinosa, Antonio Rubia, Laura Valderrama, Antonio Moreno
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Washington, D.C. : , : International Monetary Fund, , 2012
ISBN	1-4755-8120-3 1-4755-1756-4
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (39 p.)
Collana	IMF Working Papers
Altri autori (Persone)	RubiaAntonio ValderramaLaura MorenoAntonio
Soggetti	Risk assessment Finance Banks and Banking Econometrics Finance: General Investments: General Accounting Multiple or Simultaneous Equation Models Multiple Variables: General Financial Crises Financial Institutions and Services: General Banks Depository Institutions Micro Finance Institutions Mortgages General Financial Markets: Government Policy and Regulation General Financial Markets: General (includes Measurement and Data) Time-Series Models Dynamic Quantile Regressions Dynamic Treatment Effect Models Diffusion Processes Public Administration Public Sector Accounting and Audits Banking Investment & securities Econometrics & economic statistics

Financial reporting, financial statements
Systemic risk
Commercial banks
Treasury bills and bonds
Vector autoregression
Financial sector policy and analysis
Financial institutions
Econometric analysis
Financial statements
Public financial management (PFM)
Banks and banking
Financial risk management
Government securities
Finance, Public
United States

Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references.
Nota di contenuto	Cover; Contents; I. Introduction; II. Modeling Systemic Risk: CoVaR; III. Asymmetric CoVaR; A. Estimation and Inference; IV. Data; V. Downside Comovement in the U.S. Banking Industry; A. Main Empirical Results; B. Discussion; C. Robustness Checks; Bank holding companies and commercial banks; Nonlinear models; Returns of different representative portfolios and other considerations; VI. Concluding Remarks; Figures; 1. Comparison of median estimates from the symmetric and asymmetric CoVaR models; 2. Cross-sectional median estimates of the decile-based coefficients; Tables 1. Sample descriptives for the total and the filtered samples 2. Descriptive statistics for economic and financial state variables; 3. Median estimates for the symmetric and asymmetric CoVaR; 4. Estimates across size-sorted deciles for the symmetric and asymmetric CoVaR; 5. Estimates across liabilities-sorted deciles for the symmetric and asymmetric CoVaR; 6. Estimates across BHCs and CBs for the symmetric and asymmetric CoVaR; References
Sommario/riassunto	To date, an operational measure of systemic risk capturing non-linear tail comovement between system-wide and individual bank returns has not yet been developed. This paper proposes an extension of the so-called CoVaR measure that captures the asymmetric response of the banking system to positive and negative shocks to the market-valued balance sheets of individual banks. For the median of our sample of U. S. banks, the relative impact on the system of a fall in individual market value is sevenfold that of an increase. Moreover, the downward bias in systemic risk from ignoring this asymmetric pattern increases with bank size. The conditional tail comovement between the banking system and a top decile bank which is losing market value is 5.4 larger than the unconditional tail comovement versus only 2.2 for banks in the bottom decile. The asymmetric model also produces much better estimates and fitting, and thus improves the capacity to monitor

systemic risk. Our results suggest that ignoring asymmetries in tail interdependence may lead to a severe underestimation of systemic risk in a downward market.
