Record Nr. UNINA9910779187203321 Autore Agar Nicholas Titolo Humanity's end: why we should reject radical enhancement // Nicholas Agar Pubbl/distr/stampa Cambridge, Mass.:,: MIT Press,, [2010] ©2010 **ISBN** 0-262-28893-1 1-280-49886-2 9786613594099 0-262-28912-1 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (228 p.) Collana Life and mind Disciplina 303.48/3 Human evolution - Effect of technological innovations on Soggetti Technological innovations - Social aspects Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia "A Bradford book." Note generali Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references (p. [199]-215) and index. What is radical enhancement? -- Radical enhancement and Nota di contenuto posthumanity -- The technologist: Ray Kurzweil and the law of accelerating returns -- Is uploading ourselves into machines a good bet? -- The therapist: Aubrev de Grev's strategies for engineered negligible senescence -- Who wants to live forever? -- The philosopher : Nick Bostrom on the morality of enhancement -- The sociologist : James Hughes and the many paths of moral enhancement -- A speciesrelativist conclusion about radical enhancement. ""Arguments against radical enhancement have too often in the past Sommario/riassunto been characterized by irrationalism and mysticism. Nicholas Agar presents the first cogent case for the rationality of opposing radical enhancement. Moving easily between science and philosophy, he argues for a species-relative conception of valuable experiences, according to which we have a strong reason to remain human. This central claim is bolstered by a host of other arguments, which will ensure that Humanity's End will become a central reference point for debates over the desirability of radical enhancement."--Neil Levy, Oxford Centre for Neuroethics" ""Nicholas Agar has written an excellent

introduction to the moral challenges of our transition to a posthuman future, engagingly told by contrasting the work of four very different transhumanists. Humanity's End joins Agar's Liberal Eugenics on the must-read list for those interested in the future of the human race.-James J. Hughes, Executive Director, Institute for Ethics and Emerging Technologies" "Proposals to make us smarter than the greatest geniuses or to add thousands years to our life spans seem fit only for the spam folder or trash can And yet this is what contemporary advocates of radical enhancement offer in all seriousness. They present a variety of technologies and therapies that will expand our capacities far beyond what is currently possible for human beings. In Humanity's End, Nicholas Agar argues against enhancement. describing its destructive consequences."

"Agar examines the proposals of four prominent radical enhancers: Ray Kurzweil, who argues that technology will enable our escape from human biology; Aubrey de Gray, who calls for anti-aging therapies that will achieve "longevity escape velocity"; Nick Bostrom, who defends the morality and rationality of enhancement; and James Hughes, who envisions a harmonious democracy of the enhanced and the unenhanced. Agar argues that the outcomes of radical enhancement could be darker than the rosy futures described by these thinkers. The most dramatic means of enhancing our cognitive powers could in fact kill us; the radical extension of our life span could eliminate experiences of great value from our lives; and a situation in which some humans are radically enhanced and others are not could lead to tyranny of posthumans over humans."--Jacket.