

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910779185603321
Autore	Givelber Daniel
Titolo	Not guilty [[electronic resource]] : are the acquitted innocent? // Daniel Givelber and Amy Farrell
Pubbl/distr/stampa	New York, : New York University Press, 2012
ISBN	0-8147-4440-0 0-8147-2534-1
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (228 p.)
Altri autori (Persone)	FarrellAmy
Disciplina	345.73/0122
Soggetti	Judicial error - United States Criminal procedure - United States Criminal justice, Administration of - United States Jury - United States Judges - United States
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Introduction: invisible innocence -- Judge and jury decisions to acquit: what we know from social research -- Screening for innocence -- Understanding why judges and juries disagree about criminal case outcomes: are jury verdicts an expression of sentiment? -- The defense case -- The impact of race on judge and jury decision making. Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di bibliografia	
Nota di contenuto	Frontmatter -- Contents -- Tables -- Preface -- Acknowledgments -- 1. Introduction -- 2. Judge and Jury Decisions to Acquit -- 3. Screening for Innocence -- 4. Understanding Why Judges and Juries Disagree about Criminal Case Outcomes -- 5. The Defense Case -- 6. The Impact of Race on Judge and Jury Decision Making -- 7. Conclusion -- Appendix A -- Appendix B -- Appendix C -- Notes -- Bibliography -- Index -- About the Authors
Sommario/riassunto	As scores of death row inmates are exonerated by DNA evidence and innocence commissions are set up across the country, conviction of the innocent has become a well-recognized problem. But our justice system makes both kinds of errors—we acquit the guilty and convict the innocent—and exploring the reasons why people are acquitted can help us to evaluate the efficiency and fairness of our criminal justice system. Not Guilty provides a sustained examination and analysis of the

factors that lead juries to find defendants “not guilty,” as well as the connection between those factors and the possibility of factual innocence, examining why some criminal trials result in not guilty verdicts and what those verdicts suggest about the accuracy of our criminal process.
