

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910779147703321
Autore	Nedelsky Nadya
Titolo	Defining the sovereign community [[electronic resource]] : the Czech and Slovak Republics // Nadya Nedelsky
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Philadelphia, : University of Pennsylvania Press, c2009
ISBN	1-283-89078-X 0-8122-0289-9
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (351 p.)
Collana	Democracy, citizenship, and constitutionalism
Disciplina	943.703
Soggetti	Public administration - Czech Republic Public administration - Slovakia Czech Republic Politics and government 1993- Slovakia Politics and government 1993- Czechoslovakia Politics and government
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Frontmatter -- Contents -- Introduction -- Chapter One: Awakenings -- Chapter Two: Nation-Building in the Empire's Waning Years -- Chapter Three: The First Republic: Czechoslovakism and Its Discontents -- Chapter Four: The Second Republic and the Wartime Slovak State -- Chapter Five: The Third Republic: "Putting an End to All Old Disputes" -- Chapter Six: The Communist Period: New Vows -- Chapter Seven: From Velvet Revolution to Velvet Divorce -- Chapter Eight: The Implications of the Ethnic Model of Sovereignty in Slovakia -- Chapter Nine: The Implications of the Civic Model of Sovereignty in the Czech Republic -- Conclusion -- Notes -- Index -- Acknowledgments
Sommario/riassunto	Though they shared a state for most of the twentieth century, when the Czechs and Slovaks split in 1993 they founded their new states on different definitions of sovereignty. The Czech Constitution employs a civic model, founding the state in the name of "the citizens of the Czech Republic," while the Slovak Constitution uses the more exclusive ethnic model and speaks in the voice of "the Slovak Nation."Defining the Sovereign Community asks two central questions. First, why did the two states define sovereignty so differently? Second, what impact have

these choices had on individual and minority rights and participation in the two states? Nadya Nedelsky examines how the Czechs and Slovaks understood nationhood over the course of a century and a half and finds that their views have been remarkably resilient over time. These enduring perspectives on nationhood shaped how the two states defined sovereignty after the Velvet Revolution, which in turn strongly affected the status of the Hungarian minority in Slovakia and the Roma minority in the Czech Republic. Neither state has secured civic equality, but the nature of the discrimination against minorities differs. Using the civic definition of sovereignty offers stronger support for civil and minority rights than an ethnic model does. Nedelsky's conclusions challenge much analysis of the region, which tends to explain ethnic politics by focusing on postcommunist factors, especially the role of opportunistic political leaders. Defining the Sovereign Community instead examines the undervalued historical roots of political culture and the role of current constitutional definitions of sovereignty. Looking ahead, Nedelsky offers crucial evidence that nationalism may remain strong in Slovakia and the Czech Republic, even in the face of democratization and EU integration, and is an important threat to both.
