Record Nr. UNINA9910778769903321 Major award decisionmaking at the National Science Foundation **Titolo** [[electronic resource] /] / Panel on NSF Decisionmaking for Major Awards, Committee on Science, Engineering, and Public Policy Washington, D.C., : National Academy Press, 1994 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-280-24690-1 9786610246908 0-309-58632-1 0-585-14312-9 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (xii, 160 pages) Disciplina 507.9 Soggetti Science - Awards - United States Research grants - United States Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali "National Academy of Sciences, National Academy of Engineering, Institute of Medicine." "B-274"--T.p. verso. Includes bibliographical references (p. 156-160). Nota di bibliografia Nota di contenuto ""MAJOR AWARD DECISION MAKING AT THE NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION""; ""Copyright""; ""Preface""; ""Contents""; ""Executive Summary"; ""BACKGROUND""; ""FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS""; ""Clear Rules of the Game""; ""Primacy of Technical Merit""; ""Appropriate Roles of Peer Reviewers and Staff""; ""Public Documentation of Decision making"": ""More Stringent Setting of Priorities"": ""RECOMMENDATIONS""; ""Recommendation 1: Justification for Major Project Awards""; ""Recommendation 2: Involvement and Support of the Research Community in Planning"" ""Recommendation 3: Primacy of Technical Merit Criteria"""" Recommendation 4: Human Resource Development and Equal Opportunity as a Criterion""; ""Recommendation 5: Cost Sharing as a Criterion""; ""Recommendation 6: A Two-Phase Merit Review Process""; ""Recommendation 7: Reorienting the NSB Workload""; ""Recommendation 8: Planning the Review Process and Criteria"":

""Recommendation 9: More and Better Public Documentation of Award

```
Decisions""; ""Recommendation 10: More Recompetitions""; ""1 Major
Awards at NSF ""; ""OVERVIEW OF MAJOR AWARDS""; ""MAJOR AWARDS
AND MERIT REVIEW""
""MAJOR AWARDS AND THE NSB""""NSF ORGANIZATION AND STAFFING
FOR MERIT REVIEW""; ""OVERALL CONCLUSIONS""; ""Clear Rules of the
Game""; ""Primacy of Technical Merit""; ""Appropriate Roles of Peer
Reviewers and Staff""; ""Public Documentation of Decision making"";
""More Stringent Setting of Priorities""; ""2 Planning Major Projects "";
""BACKGROUND: PROJECT PLANNING AND BUDGETING AT NSF"";
""Long-Range Planning at NSF""; ""Annual Budget Process""; ""MAJOR
PROJECT PLANNING AND BUDGETING""; ""Capital Facilities Planning"";
""FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS ON PLANNING AND BUDGETING"";
""Findings""
""Recommendations""""Recommendation 1: Justification for Major
Project Awards"": ""Recommendation 2: Involvement and Support of the
Research Community in Planning""; ""3 Awarding Major Projects:
Criteria and Review Procedures ""; ""BACKGROUND: THE MERIT REVIEW
PROCESS AT NSF""; ""Current Review Criteria""; ""Review and Selection
Criteria for Major Project Awards""; ""Findings and Recommendations
on Criteria""; ""Recommendation 3: Primacy of Technical Merit
Criteria""; ""Recommendation 4: Human Resource Development and
Equal Opportunity as a Criterion""
""Recommendation 5: Cost Sharing as a Criterion"""NSF PROCEDURES
FOR REVIEWING PROPOSALS""; ""Proposal Review Process""; ""Peer
Review Modes""; ""Selection of Reviewers""; ""Policies and Procedures for
Dealing with Bias and Conflict of Interest""; ""Award Decision making"";
""Findings and Recommendations on Review Procedures"";
```

""Recommendation 6: A Two-Phase Merit Review Process""; ""4 Awarding Major Projects: NSB Role, Review Process Design, and

""Recommendation 7: Reorienting the NSB Workload""

and Recommendations on the NSB Role""

Decision Documentation ""; ""NSB ROLE AND PROCEDURES""; ""Findings