1. Record Nr. UNINA9910778713303321

Autore McCaffery Edward J

Titolo Taxing women [[electronic resource] /] / Edward J. McCaffery

Pubbl/distr/stampa Chicago, : University of Chicago Press, 1997

ISBN 9786611223861

1-281-22386-7 0-226-55556-9

Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (330 p.)

Disciplina 336.2/0082

Soggetti Women - Taxation - Law and legislation - United States

Women - Taxation - United States

Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese

Formato Materiale a stampa

Livello bibliografico Monografia

Note generali Description based upon print version of record.

Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references (p. 289-302) and index.

Nota di contenuto Front matter -- Contents -- Tables and Figures -- Preface to the

Paperback Edition -- Acknowledgments -- Introduction -- Part One.
Getting Married, Tax Style -- Part Two. Beyond Joint Filing: Getting
Worse -- Part Three. Theory, Practice, and Rhetoric: On (Not) Getting It
-- Part Four. Beyond Tax: Getting Worse, Still -- Notes -- Bibliography

-- Index

Sommario/riassunto Taxing Women comprises both an insightful, critical analysis of the

gender biases in current tax laws and a wake-up call for all those concerned with gender justice to pay more attention to the pervasive impact of such laws. Providing real-life examples, Edward McCaffery shows how tax laws are actually written to punish married couples who file jointly. No dual-income household can afford not to read this book before filing their taxes. "Taxing Women is a must-have primer for any woman who wants to understand how our current tax system affects her family's economic condition. In plain English, McCaffery explains how the tax code stacks the deck against women and why it's in women's economic interest to lead the next great tax rebellion."-Patricia Schroeder "McCaffery is an expert on the interplay between taxes and social policy. . . . Devastating in his analysis. . . . Intriguing."-Harris Collingwood, Working Women "A wake-up call regarding the

inequalities of an archaic system that actually penalizes women for