Record Nr. Autore Titolo Pubbl/distr/stampa	UNINA9910778473703321 Page William H (William Hepburn), <1951-> The Microsoft case [[electronic resource]] : antitrust, high technology, and consumer welfare / / William H. Page and John E. Lopatka Chicago, : University of Chicago Press, 2007
ISBN	1-282-42677-X 9786612426773 0-226-64465-0
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (363 p.)
Altri autori (Persone)	LopatkaJohn E
Disciplina	345.73/0268
Soggetti	Antitrust law - United States Restraint of trade - United States Computer software industry - Law and legislation - United States United States Trials, litigation, etc
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (p. [249]-329) and index.
Nota di contenuto	Origins Ideological sources of antimonopolization law Microsoft's predecessors : the public monopolization case Microsoft's beginnings : a post-Chicago convergence Decisions Chronology The liability decisions The remedial decisions The follow-on private litigation The European Commission decision Markets Two systems of belief about operating systems and middleware Network effects and related economic concepts Defining software markets Practices I : integration A preliminary skirmish Integration on trial Rethinking and redefining integration under Sherman Act standards Practices II : the market division proposal, exclusive contracts, and Java The market division proposal The exclusive contracts Java Remedies The goals of antitrust remedies Structural remedies Conduct remedies Damage remedies.
Sommario/riassunto	In 1998, the United States Department of Justice and state antitrust agencies charged that Microsoft was monopolizing the market for personal computer operating systems. More than ten years later, the case is still the defining antitrust litigation of our era. William H. Page

1.

and John E. Lopatka's The Microsoft Case contributes to the debate over the future of antitrust policy by examining the implications of the litigation from the perspective of consumer welfare. The authors trace the development of the case from its conceptual origins through the tria