1. Record Nr. UNINA9910778224403321 Health and human flourishing [[electronic resource]]: religion, Titolo medicine, and moral anthropology / / Carol Taylor and Roberto Dell'Oro, editors Washington, D.C., : Georgetown University Press, c2006 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-58901-336-0 1-4356-2744-X Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (293 p.) Altri autori (Persone) TaylorCarol, CSFN Dell'OroRoberto <1959-> Disciplina 261.8/321 Soggetti Health - Religious aspects - Catholic Church Theological anthropology Bioethics - Religious aspects - Catholic Church Medical ethics - Religious aspects - Catholic Church Christian ethics - Catholic authors Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Monografia Livello bibliografico Description based upon print version of record. Note generali Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di contenuto Theological anthropology and bioethics / Roberto dell'Oro --Vulnerability, agency, and human flourishing / Alisa L. Carse --Pluralism, truthfulness, and the patience of being / William Desmond -- Dignity and the human as a natural kind / Daniel P. Sulmasy -- On being true to form / Margaret E. Mohrmann -- The integrity conundrum / Suzanne Holland -- Vulnerabilty and the meaning of illness: reflections on lived experience / S. Kay Toombs -- A meditation on vulnerability and power / Richard M. Zaner --Vulnerability within the body of Christ: anointing of the sick and theological anthropology / M. Therese Lysaught -- Gender and human relationality / Christine E. Gudorf -- Bioethics, relationships, and participation in the common good / Lisa Sowle Cahill -- Health care and a theological anthropology / Carol Taylor -- Health policy and a theological anthropology / Ron Hamel -- Science and a theological anthropology / Kevin T. FitzGerald -- Toward a richer bioethics : a

conclusion / Edmund D. Pellegrino.

Sommario/riassunto

What, exactly, does it mean to be human? It is an age-old question, one for which theology, philosophy, science, and medicine have all provided different answers. But though a unified response to the question can no longer be taken for granted, how we answer it frames the wide range of different norms, principles, values, and intuitions that characterize today's bioethical discussions. If we don't know what it means to be human, how can we judge whether biomedical sciences threaten or enhance our humanity? This fundamental question, however, receives little attention in the study of bioethics.