

|                         |  |
|-------------------------|--|
| 1. Record Nr.           | UNINA9910699749603321  |
| Autore                  | Aurell Johanna   |
| Titolo                  | Aerostat sampling of PCDD/PCDF emissions from the Gulf oil spill in situ burns : supporting information / / Johanna Aurell and Brian K. Gullett  |
| Pubbl/distr/stampa      | Research Triangle Park, N.C. : , : U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Risk Management Research Laboratory, , [2010]  |
| Descrizione fisica      | 1 online resource (5 pages)  |
| Soggetti                | BP Deepwater Horizon Explosion and Oil Spill, 2010 - Research<br>Polychlorinated dibenzodioxins - Mexico, Gulf of - Measurement<br>Polychlorinated dibenzofurans - Mexico, Gulf of - Measurement<br>Oil spills - Environmental aspects - Mexico, Gulf of |
| Lingua di pubblicazione | Inglese  |
| Formato                 | Materiale a stampa   |
| Livello bibliografico   | Monografia   |
| Note generali           | Title from title screen (viewed Nov. 15, 2010).  |
| Nota di bibliografia    | Includes bibliographical references (page 5).  |

|                         |  |
|-------------------------|--|
| 2. Record Nr.           | UNINA9910778195703321  |
| Autore                  | Aleinikoff T. Alexander (Thomas Alexander), <1952->  |
| Titolo                  | Semblances of sovereignty [[electronic resource] ] : the Constitution, the state, and American citizenship / / T. Alexander Aleinikoff   |
| Pubbl/distr/stampa      | Cambridge, MA, : Harvard University Press, 2002  |
| ISBN                    | 0-674-02015-4  |
| Descrizione fisica      | xi, 306 p  |
| Disciplina              | 342.73/02  |
| Soggetti                | Constitutional law - United States<br>Nation-state<br>Sovereignty  |
| Lingua di pubblicazione | Inglese  |
| Formato                 | Materiale a stampa   |
| Livello bibliografico   | Monografia   |
| Note generali           | Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph  |
| Nota di bibliografia    | Includes bibliographical references (p. 199-301) and index.  |
| Nota di contenuto       | Frontmatter -- Preface -- Contents -- SEMBLANCES OF SOVEREIGNTY -- 1 Introduction -- 2 The Sovereignty Cases and the Pursuit of an American Nation-State -- 3 The Citizen-State: From the Warren Court to the Rehnquist Court -- 4 Commonwealth and the Constitution: The Case of Puerto Rico -- 5 The Erosion of American Indian Sovereignty -- 6 Indian Tribal Sovereignty beyond Plenary Power -- 7 Plenary Power, Immigration Regulation, and Decentered Citizenship -- 8 Reconceptualizing Sovereignty: Toward a New American Narrative -- Notes -- Index   |
| Sommario/riassunto      | In a set of cases decided at the end of the nineteenth century, the Supreme Court declared that Congress had "plenary power" to regulate immigration, Indian tribes, and newly acquired territories. Not coincidentally, the groups subject to Congress' plenary power were primarily nonwhite and generally perceived as "uncivilized." The Court left Congress free to craft policies of assimilation, exclusion, paternalism, and domination. Despite dramatic shifts in constitutional law in the twentieth century, the plenary power case decisions remain largely the controlling law. The Warren Court, widely recognized for its dedication to individual rights, focused on ensuring "full and equal citizenship"--an agenda that utterly neglected immigrants, tribes, and residents of the territories. The Rehnquist Court has appropriated the |

Warren Court's rhetoric of citizenship, but has used it to strike down policies that support diversity and the sovereignty of Indian tribes. Attuned to the demands of a new century, the author argues for abandonment of the plenary power cases, and for more flexible conceptions of sovereignty and citizenship. The federal government ought to negotiate compacts with Indian tribes and the territories that affirm more durable forms of self-government. Citizenship should be "decentered," understood as a commitment to an intergenerational national project, not a basis for denying rights to immigrants.

Table of Contents: 1. Introduction 2. The Sovereignty Cases and the Pursuit of an American Nation-State 3. The Citizen-State: From the Warren Court to the Rehnquist Court 4. Commonwealth and the Constitution: The Case of Puerto Rico 5. The Erosion of American Indian Sovereignty 6. Indian Tribal Sovereignty beyond Plenary Power 7. Plenary Power, Immigration Regulation, and Decentered Citizenship 8. Reconceptualizing Sovereignty: Toward a New American Narrative

Notes Index

Reviews of this book: This book not only provides careful analysis of U.S. Supreme Court and congressional relationships but also could lead to novel studies of rights and obligations in American society. Highly recommended.--Steven Puro, Library Journal

Reviews of this book: Aleinikoff examines sovereignty, citizenship, and the broader concept of membership (aliens as well as citizens) in the American nation-state and suggests that American constitutional law needs "understandings of sovereignty and membership that are supple and flexible, open to new arrangements". Sure to generate heated debate over the extent to which the rules governing immigration, Indian tribes, and American territories should be altered, this book is required reading for constitutional scholars.--R. J. Steamer, Choice

Amid the overflowing scholarship on American constitutional law, little has been written on this cluster of topics, which go to the core of what sovereignty under the Constitution means. Aleinikoff asks not only how we define "ourselves," but exactly who is authorized to place themselves in the category of insiders empowered to set limits excluding others. The book stands out as a novel, intriguing, and interesting analysis against the sea of sameness found in the constitutional literature.--Philip P. Frickey, Law School, University of California, Berkeley

What lends Aleinikoff's work originality and importance is its synthetic range and the new insights that flow from bringing immigration, Indian, and territorial issues together, and taking on such much criticized anomalies as the plenary power doctrine in their full ambit. In my view, he may well make good on his hope of helping to inspire a new field of sovereignty studies. Certainly, the idea of "problematizing" national citizenship and national sovereignty is afoot in the law schools and, far more so, in sociology, political science, and in various interdisciplinary fields like American Studies, regional studies, and global political economy and cultural studies. To my knowledge, no one has written a synthetic treatment of these issues that compares with Aleinikoff's in its mastery of constitutional law, its working knowledge or adjacent normative, historical and policy studies, and its intellectual clarity, stylistic grace, and morally sensitive but pragmatic political judgments.--William Forbath, University of Texas at Austin Law School

---