

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910777893503321
Autore	Peters Philip G
Titolo	How safe is safe enough? : obligations to the children of reproductive technology / / Philip G. Peters, Jr
Pubbl/distr/stampa	New York : , : Oxford University Press, , 2004
ISBN	0-19-770714-9 1-280-83560-5 0-19-974801-2 1-4294-3880-0
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (xii, 263 pages) : illustrations
Disciplina	176
Soggetti	Reproductive technology - Moral and ethical aspects Human reproductive technology - Moral and ethical aspects Human reproductive technology - Political aspects Human reproductive technology - Social aspects
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Introduction to the debate over risky technologies [Pt.] I. The interests of future children. Future people matter ; Three ways in which reproductive contact can cause harm ; The duty to use the safest procreative method available ; Treatments too dangerous to use even as a last resort ; Treatments that endanger embryos ; Synthesis [Pt.] II. Reconciling conflicting interests. Constructing a regulatory framework that respects parental liberty ; An introduction to constitutional limits on the regulation of reproduction ; Substantive due process doctrine ; A critique of the "deeply rooted" test ; The constitutional stature of reproductive technologies ; The state's interest in protecting future children[Pt.] III. Applying the framework. Intracytoplasmic sperm injection ; Multiple pregnancy ; Cloning ; Germ-line genetic engineering ; Conclusion
Sommario/riassunto	"This book offers a comprehensive road map for determining when and how to regulate risky reproductive technologies on behalf of future children. First, it provides three benchmarks for determining whether a reproductive practice is harmful to the children it produces. This

framework synthesizes and extends past efforts to make sense of our intuitive, but paradoxical, belief that reproductive choices can be both life-giving and harmful. Next, it recommends a process for reconciling the interests of future children with the reproductive liberty of prospective parents. The author rejects a blanket preference for either parental autonomy or child welfare and proposes instead a case-by-case inquiry that takes into account the nature and magnitude of the proposed restrictions on procreative liberty, the risk of harm to future children, and the context in which the issue arises. Finally, he applies this framework to four past and future medical treatments with above average risk, including cloning and genetic engineering. Drawing lessons from these case studies, Peters criticizes the current lack of regulatory oversight and recommends both more extensive pre-market testing and closer post-market monitoring of new reproductive technologies. His moderate, pragmatic approach will be widely appreciated."--BOOK JACKET
