Record Nr. UNINA9910777859503321 Bush v. Gore [[electronic resource]]: the question of legitimacy // **Titolo** edited by Bruce Ackerman Pubbl/distr/stampa New Haven, : Yale University Press, c2002 **ISBN** 1-281-74060-8 9786611740603 0-300-12700-6 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (255 p.) Altri autori (Persone) AckermanBruce A Disciplina 342.73/075 Soggetti Presidents - United States - Election - 2000 Contested elections - Florida Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Description based upon print version of record. Note generali Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di contenuto An unreasonable reaction to a reasonable decision / Charles Fried --Not as bad as Plessy. Worse / Jed Rubenfeld -- EroG v. hsuB: through the looking glass / Laurence H. Tribe -- In partial (but not partisan) praise of principle / Guido Calabresi -- The fallibility of reason / Owen Fiss -- Sustaining the premise of legality: learning to live with Bush v. Gore / Robert Post -- Can the rule of law survive Bush v. Gore / Margaret Jane Radin -- A political question / Steven G. Calabresi --Political questions and the hazards of pragmatism / Jeffrey Rosen --The conservatism in Bush v. Gore / Mark Tushnet -- Does the constitution enact the republican party platform? : beyond Bush v. Gore / Cass R. Sunstein -- Off balance / Bruce Ackerman -- Legitimacy and the 2000 election / Jack M. Balkin. Sommario/riassunto The Supreme Court's intervention in the 2000 election will shape American law and democracy long after George W. Bush has left the White House. This vitally important book brings together a broad range of preeminent legal scholars who address the larger questions raised by the Supreme Court's actions. Did the Court's decision violate the rule of law? Did it inaugurate an era of super-politicized jurisprudence? How

should Bush v. Gore change the terms of debate over the next round of Supreme Court appointments? The contributors-Bruce Ackerman, Jack

Balkin, Guido Calabresi, Steven Calabresi, Owen Fiss, Charles Fried, Robert Post, Margaret Jane Radin, Jeffrey Rosen, Jed Rubenfeld, Cass Sunstein, Laurence Tribe, and Mark Tushnet-represent a broad political spectrum. Their reactions to the case are varied and surprising, filled with sparkling argument and spirited debate. This is a must-read book for thoughtful Americans everywhere.