

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910774705303321
Autore	Levy Neil
Titolo	Bad beliefs : Why they happen to good people / / Neil Levy
Pubbl/distr/stampa	New York : , : Oxford University Press, , 2021 ©2021
Edizione	[First edition.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (xxii, 188 pages)
Disciplina	302
Soggetti	Human behavior
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Front Matter -- Copyright Page -- Acknowledgments -- ExpandPreface: Rational Social Animals Go Wild -- Expand1 What Should We Believe About Belief? -- View chapter -- Expand2 Culturing Belief -- View chapter -- Expand3 How Our Minds Are Made Up -- View chapter -- Expand4 Dare to Think? -- View chapter -- Expand5 Epistemic Pollution -- View chapter -- Expand6 Nudging Well -- View chapter -- Concluding Thoughts: Rational Animals After All -- View chapter -- End Matter -- References -- Index.
Sommario/riassunto	"Why do people come to reject climate science or the safety and efficacy of vaccines, in defiance of the scientific consensus? A popular view explains bad beliefs like these as resulting from a range of biases that together ensure that human beings fall short of being genuinely rational animals. This book presents an alternative account. It argues that bad beliefs arise from genuinely rational processes. We've missed the rationality of bad beliefs because we've failed to recognize the ubiquity of the higher-order evidence that shapes beliefs, and the rationality of being guided by this evidence. The book argues that attention to higher-order evidence should lead us to rethink both how minds are best changed and the ethics of changing them: we should come to see that nudging - at least usually - changes belief (and behavior) by presenting rational agents with genuine evidence, and is therefore fully respectful of intellectual agency. We needn't rethink Enlightenment ideals of intellectual autonomy and rationality, but we

should reshape them to take account of our deeply social epistemic agency"-- Provided by publisher.
