1. Record Nr. UNINA9910765277003321 Autore Wallace Deborah Titolo Politics, hierarchy, and public health: voting patterns in the 2016 US presidential election / / Deborah Wallace and Rodrick Wallace London:,: Routledge,, 2019 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-000-00526-7 0-429-27488-2 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (143 pages) Collana Routledge international studies in health economics Disciplina 362.10973 Soggetti Medical care - Political aspects - United States Public health - Political aspects - United States Health status indicators - United States Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese

**Formato** 

Materiale a stampa

Livello bibliografico

Monografia

Sommario/riassunto

Steep socioeconomic hierarchy in post-industrial Western society threatens public health because of the physiological consequences of material and psychosocial insecurities and deprivations. Following on from their previous books, the authors continue their exploration of the geography of early mortality from age-related chronic conditions, of risk behaviors and their health outcomes, and of infant and child mortality, all due to rigid hierarchy. They divide the 50 states into those that gave their electoral college votes to Trump and those that gave theirs to Clinton in the 2016 presidential election and compare the two sets for socioeconomic and public health profiles. They deliberately apply only simple standard statistical methods in the public health analyses: t-test, Mann-Whitney test, bivariate regression, and backward stepwise multivariate regression. The book assumes familiarity with basic statistics. The authors argue that theunequal power relations that result in eroding public health in the nation and, in particular, in the Trump-voting states, largely cascade from the collapse of American industry, and they analyze the Cold War roots of that collapse. In two largely independent chapters on economics, they explore both the suppression of countervailing forces, such as organized labor, and the

diversion of technical resources to the military as essential foundations to the population-level suffering that expressed itself in the 2016 presidential election. This interdisciplinary book has several primary audiences: creators of public policies, such as legislators and governmental staff, public health professionals and social epidemiologists, economists, labor union professionals, civil rights advocates, political scientists, historians, and students of these disciplines from public health through the social sciences.