Record Nr. UNINA9910751384403321

Autore Storskrubb Eva

Titolo YSEC Yearbook of Socio-Economic Constitutions 2022 : Funding of

Justice

Pubbl/distr/stampa Cham:,: Springer,, 2023

©2023

ISBN 9783031385100

Edizione [1st ed.]

Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (349 pages)

Collana YSEC Yearbook of Socio-Economic Constitutions Series ; ; v.2022

Disciplina 353.4

Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese

Formato Materiale a stampa

Livello bibliografico Monografia

Nota di contenuto

Intro -- Introduction: Funding of Justice -- Background and Scope --Access to Justice -- The Three-Wave Metaphor -- International Levels of Funding of Justice -- References -- Contents -- Part I: Specific Part: Funding of Justice: Access to Effective Justice in Times of Marketisation of Justice and Shrinking Pub... -- Revisiting the Concept of Access to Justice as a Human Right in the Post-welfare State -- 1 Introduction --2 The Concept of Access to Justice as a Human Right -- 3 A New Balance for the Post-welfare State -- 4 The Regulation of TPLF and the Human Rights Perspective -- 5 Concluding Remarks -- References --The Impact of Third-Party Funding on Access to Justice -- 1 Introduction -- 2 Assumptions Made -- 2.1 Defining ``Third-Party Funding" -- 2.2 Defining "Access to Justice" -- 2.3 Cost as a Component of "Access to Justice" -- 2.4 Other Assumptions -- 3 A Thought Experiment on Access to Justice -- 4 Suggestions for Increasing Access to Justice -- 5 Conclusion -- References -- The Supply and Demand of Justice: What Policy Implications from the EU Justice Scoreboard? -- 1 Introduction -- 2 The Scoreboard Underlying Philosophy and the Role of Costs -- 2.1 Between Rule-of-Law and Economic Considerations -- 2.2 The Role of Costs -- 3 Zooming in: Some Findings of the Scoreboard on the Costs of Access to Justice --3.1 Introduction -- 3.2 The Role of Public Budget in Funding Justice --3.3 Court Fees and the Efficiency of Proceedings -- 3.4 Cost Shifting and Recoverability of Lawyer Fees -- 3.5 Legal Aid and Consumer

Access to Justice -- 3.6 Availability of Information on Court and Legal Fees -- 4 Towards a Taxonomy System of the Scoreboard Cost-Related Information -- 4.1 Background -- 4.2 Components of Synthetic Indicators -- 4.3 States' Subsidies -- 4.4 Litigants' Fees -- 4.5 Legal Aid -- 5 Highlighting Trends.

5.1 Progressive Development Identified -- 5.2 The Gradual Shift of Focus Towards the Demand Side -- 5.3 The Growth of Data -- 5.4 The Commission's Experimental Approach -- 6 Zooming Out: The Role of Cost-Related Information in the Concept of Access to Justice -- 7 Conclusions -- References -- Illusory Truths and Frivolous Claims: Critical Reflections on a Report on Litigation Funding by the European Parliamentary Res... -- 1 Introduction -- 2 The EPRS Report's General Findings and Conclusions on TPLF -- 3 The Litigation Funding Taxonomy Used in the EPRS Report -- 3.1 Introduction -- 3.2 The EPRS Reports' Definition of Third Party Litigation Funding (TPLF) -- 3.2.1 The TPLF Definition Used in the EPRS Report Seems Not to Include Portfolio Funding -- 3.2.2 The TPLF Definition Used in the EPRS Report Confuses the Relevance of Successful Outcome Under Different Remuneration Mo... -- 3.2.3 The TPLF Definition Used in the EPRS Report Does Not Correspond with the Concept of Third Party Funding in EU Regulation -- 3.3 The EPRS Reports' Definition of Third Party Litigation Funder -- 3.3.1 The Third Party Litigation Funder Definition Used in the EPRS Report Does Not Cover All Entities Carrying Out TPLF as De... -- 3.3.2 The Third Party Litigation Funder Definition Used in the EPRS Report Does Not Cover Non-commercial Funders or Entities F... -- 3.3.3 The Third Party Litigation Funder Definition Used in the EPRS Report Is Unclear with Respect to Whether Insurers and Law ... --3.3.4 The Third Party Litigation Funder Definition Used in the EPRS Report Does Not Correspond with the TPLF Definition Used i... -- 3.4 Conclusion Regarding the Fundamental Concepts Defined in the EPRS Report -- 4 The Perceived Risk for Frivolous Claims -- 4.1 The EPRS Report's Conclusions Regarding Risk for Frivolous Claims as an Effect of TPLF.

4.2 The EPRS Report States That the Risk for Frivolous Single Claims as an Effect of TPLF Is "Extremely Low" -- 4.3 The EPRS Report Presents No Support or Argument for the Position That Portfolio Funding May Lead to Frivolous Claims -- 4.4 Concluding Remarks Regarding the Report's Position on Frivolous Claims -- 5 The Perceived Risk for Conflicts of Interest as an Effect of TPLF -- 5.1 Introduction --5.2 Potential Conflicts of Interest Regarding the Funded Party and the Funder -- 5.3 Comments on the Example in the EPRS Report Intended to Illustrate a Conflict of Interest Situation with Respect to Settlem ... --5.3.1 Initial Remarks -- 5.3.2 Estimated Chance of Success in a Litigation Funding Deal -- 5.3.3 The Claimant's Alleged Unwillingness to Accept the Settlement -- 5.3.4 Remuneration Payable in a Litigation Funding Deal -- 5.3.5 Summary Regarding the Settlement Scenario Example -- 5.4 Summary Regarding Conflicts of Interest -- 6 Concluding Remarks -- Online Sources -- References -- Funding as an Element of Access to Justice in Environmental Protection Cases in Belgium: A Socio-Legal Analysis -- 1 Introduction -- 1.1 Research Question(s) and Structure of the Study -- 1.2 Methodology and Limitations -- 2 Environmental Protection as a Collective Interest and as an Enforceable Right -- 2.1 The Disruptive Reach of Article 9 of the Aarhus Convention -- 2.2 The Role of Environmental NGOs and Their Locus Standi Under EU Law: A Brief Overview -- 3 Access to Justice for the Protection of the Environment as a Collective Interest in Belgium --3.1 Protection of Collective Interests: The Necessity of Demonstrating an Interest -- 3.1.1 Administrative Courts and the Interest Requirement

-- 3.1.2 Civil Courts and the Interest Requirement -- 3.1.3 The Constitutional Court and the Interest Requirement -- 3.1.4 Right of Substitution for Citizens.

3.2 Overview of Various Costs of Resolving Disputes Within the Formal Judicial Machinery and Exemptions -- 4 From `Law in the Books' to `Law in Action': Do Belgian Organisations Acting in the Collective Interest Find Their Way to Cou... -- 4.1 Human and Financial Resources -- 4.1.1 Structure of the Organisations/Collectives and Aims -- 4.1.2 Financial Resources -- 4.2 Locus Standi and Expenses -- 4.2.1 Locus Standi -- 4.2.2 Cost of the Proceedings (Judicial and Legal Fees) and Other Expenses -- 4.3 Perceived Legitimacy of the Belgian Legal Framework -- 5 Conclusions -- References -- Standing, Justiciability, and Burden of Proof in Climate Litigation: Challenges and Proposals --1 Introduction -- 2 The Roots of Climate Litigation -- 2.1 Climate Change and Justice -- 2.1.1 Background -- 2.1.2 Human Rights --2.1.3 Inequality -- 2.1.4 Future Generations -- 2.1.5 Conclusion: Climate Change Is a Matter of Justice -- 2.2 From International Law to National Courts -- 3 Litigation Categories -- 3.1 Classification -- 3.2 Strategic Litigation Against Governments -- 3.2.1 General -- 3.2.2 Leghari -- 3.2.3 Juliana -- 3.2.4 Urgenda -- 3.2.5 Neubauer -- 3.2.6 Conclusion -- 3.3 Strategic Litigation Against Actors in the Private Sector -- 3.3.1 General -- 3.3.2 Claims Seeking to Reduce Emissions -- 3.3.3 Claims Against Specific Projects -- 3.4 Litigation for Compensatory Damages Against Actors in the Private Sector -- 3.5 Claims Based on Statutory Environmental Assessment Law -- 3.5.1 General -- 3.5.2 Gloucester Resources -- 3.5.3 Earthlife Africa Johannesburg -- 3.5.4 Vienna Airport -- 3.5.5 Conclusion: The Potential of Environmental Assessment Litigation -- 3.6 Fundamental Rights Litigation -- 3.6.1 General -- 3.6.2 Legal Foundations -- 3.6.3 Jurisdiction Levels -- 3.7 Conclusion: Enforcing Climate Rights Through Litigation.

4 Outdated Standing, Justiciability and Evidence Rules -- 4.1 Introduction -- 4.2 Standing Rules -- 4.3 Justiciability -- 4.4 Evidence Rules -- 4.5 Conclusion: Outdated Procedural Rules Entail Longer and More Risky Court Proceedings -- 5 The IBA Model Statute: Ideas for Procedural Law Reform -- 5.1 The IBA Proposals to Overcome the Issue of Standing -- 5.2 The IBA Proposals to Overcome the Issue of Justiciability -- 5.3 The IBA Proposals on Evidence Rules -- 6 Conclusion -- Case Law -- References -- Reports -- Simplification of Procedure -- 1 Introduction -- 1.1 Access to Lawyers (or ``Lawyer-Less' Litigation?) -- 1.2 Aim and Outline -- 2 Background -- 2.1 The Swedish Court System -- 2.2 The "Right" to Counsel: Effective or Illusory? -- 2.3 The Concept of "Simplified Procedures" -- 3 Lawyers' Fees -- 3.1 Cost-Shifting -- 3.1.1 Two-Way Cost-Shifting in Civil Proceedings -- 3.1.2 No-Way Cost-Shifting in Administrative Proceedings -- 3.2 Legal Aid (and Legal Expense Insurances) -- 3.2.1 Legal Aid (and LEI:s) in Civil Proceedings -- 3.2.2 Legal Aid (and Public Counsel) in Administrative Proceedings -- 3.3 Lawyer-Less Litigation Before the Administrative Courts -- 4 Lawyer Advantage -- 4.1 The Sailor and the Shark -- 4.2 Levelling the Playing Field -- 5 Discussion and Conclusions -- 5.1 Debunking the Myth of ``Simplified Procedures ' -- 5.2 There Is No Such Thing as a Free Lunch -- Legal Statues -- Government Bills -- Official Reports of the Swedish Government -- Case Law: The European Court of Human Rights --Case Law: The Supreme Court of Sweden -- Case Law: The Supreme Administrative Court of Sweden -- Other Sources -- References --"Equality of Legal Protection": On the Constitutional Derivation of the Right to Legal Aid in Administrative Proceedings and... -- 1 The

Constitutional ``Equality of Legal Protection''.

2 Legal Aid According to the Statutory Provisions.