

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910739466703321
Autore	Fikentscher Wolfgang
Titolo	FairEconomy [[electronic resource] ] : Crises, Culture, Competition and the Role of Law // by Wolfgang Fikentscher, Philipp Hacker, Rupprecht Podszun
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Berlin, Heidelberg : , : Springer Berlin Heidelberg : , : Imprint : Springer, , 2013
ISBN	3-642-36107-2
Edizione	[1st ed. 2013.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (168 p.)
Collana	MPI Studies on Intellectual Property and Competition Law, , 2191-5822 ; ; 19
Disciplina	300
Soggetti	International law Trade Ethics Law and economics Law—Philosophy Law Political science International Economic Law, Trade Law Law and Economics Theories of Law, Philosophy of Law, Legal History Philosophy of Law
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Includes index.
Nota di contenuto	Anthropological and Economic Foundations of FairEconomy -- Rules on Competition after the Crisis -- A Matter of Risk and Balance - Discussing a System of Liability for Financial Products -- Sanctions and Procedure -- Conclusion: the Concept of FairEconomy.
Sommario/riassunto	FairEconomy is a concept for a free and fair market economy. In response to the financial and economic crises of the past years, the authors develop fundamental ideas of how a market economy works, what rules markets need and who safeguards fairness and equal opportunity in such an economy. The book sets out the design of a sustainable market order: Going back to the very roots of doing

business it offers a fascinating insight into the cultural and anthropological premises of the market economy. Fairness and free competition can be identified as key elements of successful markets, sometimes neglected in politics and business. Legal rules need to ensure that fairness and economic freedom work. The same holds true for the relationship of risk and liability that has been overlooked in the banking sector. The ideas of a FairEconomy, sketched in this book, are fit to become a reality: The authors point to institutions and mechanisms that could integrate the concept into global law. They place their trust less upon ever-larger institutions and more on private entitlement and enforcement at the global, regional, and local levels. .

---