

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910714248803321
Autore	Dietsch Benjamin J.
Titolo	Estimated flood discharges and map of flood-inundated areas for Omaha Creek, near Homer, Nebraska, 2005 / / by Benjamin J. Dietsch, Richard C. Wilson, and Kellan R. Strauch ; prepared in cooperation with the Village of Homer, Nebraska, and the Papio-Missouri River Natural Resources District
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Reston, Virginia : , : U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, , 2008
Edizione	[Version 1.0.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (iv, 10 pages) : color illustrations, color maps
Collana	Scientific investigations report ; ; 2008-5107
Soggetti	Floods - Nebraska - Homer Floods
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (page 10).

2. Record Nr.	UNINA9910847399003321
Autore	Kessler Sarah
Titolo	Competing Climate Cultures in Germany : Variations in the Collective Denying of Responsibility and Efficacy / / Sarah Kessler
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Bielefeld : , : transcript Verlag, , [2024] ©2024
ISBN	9783839471432 3839471435
Edizione	[1st ed.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (254 p.)
Collana	Soziologie der Nachhaltigkeit ; ; 4
Soggetti	SOCIAL SCIENCE / Sociology / General
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di contenuto	Frontmatter -- Contents -- List of figures -- List of abbreviations -- List of tables -- Preface & Acknowledgements -- Summary -- Part I - Introduction -- 1 Introduction -- 1.1 Lack of consensus on the IPCC consensus -- 1.2 Structure of study -- Part II - Theoretical and methodological framework -- 2 Literature review and theoretical foundations -- 2.1 Introduction -- 2.2 Responsibility -- 2.3 Efficacy -- 2.4 Ways of knowing -- 2.5 The social organisation of denial -- 2.6 Divergent cultures of climate action and denial -- 2.7 Conclusion -- 3 Methods -- 3.1 Introduction -- 3.2 Background -- 3.3 Research design -- 3.4 Expert interview analysis -- 3.5 Media analysis -- 3.6 Focus group interviews with professional groups -- 3.7 Conclusion -- Part III - Empirical findings -- 4 Expert interviews -- 4.1 Introduction -- 4.2 Statements concerning responsibility -- 4.3 Statements related to efficacy -- 4.4 Statements about knowing -- 4.5 Statements pointing towards denial -- 4.6 Conclusion -- 5 Media analysis: Public debates about climate change -- 5.1 Introduction -- 5.2 Elite climate cultures -- 5.3 Climate cultures 'from below' -- 5.4 Conclusion -- 6 The seven focus group discussions -- 6.1 Introduction -- 6.2 I really don't care what comes out of the plane in terms of CO2 - Craftsmen -- 6.3 We only worry about climate change because we are well off - Green startup -- 6.4 There is no [basic human] right to travel by plane - NGO -- 6.5 Climate just exists and cannot be changed - Farmers -- 6.6 I

don't think flying per se is as bad as it is always made out to be -
Mobility provider -- 6.7 I have not once heard the word 'sustainability'
since working here - Industrial enterprise -- 6.8 Flying is indeed
something that I don't prohibit for myself - Teachers -- 6.9 Conclusion
-- Part IV - Discussion, recommendations and outlook -- 7 Discussion
-- 7.1 Introduction -- 7.2 Climate action as 'elite project' obscures
climate-cultural diversity -- 7.3 Differentiating climate cultures:
Responsibility, efficacy and knowing -- 7.4 Differences in denial -- 7.5
Policy recommendations -- 7.6 Outlook -- 8 Conclusion -- References

Sommario/riassunto

Despite frequent protests and abounding discussions about the subject, climate action measures to counter human-made climate change have so far remained largely ineffective. By identifying profound climate-cultural differences, Sarah Kessler offers an explanation to this issue and shows that conventional assumptions of an implicit consensus on the need to prioritise climate action should be reconsidered. She uncovers climate-cultural variations in (implicit and explicit) denial of climate change and thus challenges existing approaches that treat the German public as a unified entity waiting to be activated by the right kind of rationally convincing information.
