

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910711102203321
Autore	Clatworthy Willard H
Titolo	A testing plan for cement / / W.H. Clatworthy, W.S. Connor, D.N. Evans
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Gaithersburg, MD : , : U.S. Dept. of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology, , 1953
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource
Collana	NBS report ; ; 2560
Altri autori (Persone)	ConnorW. S EvansD. N
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	1953. Contributed record: Metadata reviewed, not verified. Some fields updated by batch processes. Title from PDF title page.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references.

2. Record Nr.	UNINA9910310643503321
Autore	Brown Alexander <1974 December 27->
Titolo	Hate speech law : a philosophical examination / / Alexander Brown
Pubbl/distr/stampa	2015 New York ; ; London : , : Routledge, , 2015 ©2015
ISBN	9781317502364 1317502361 9781138062740 113806274X 9781315714899 1315714892 9781317502371 131750237X
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (379 pages)
Collana	Routledge Studies in Contemporary Philosophy ; ; 67
Classificazione	PHI019000POL039000
Disciplina	345/.02501 345.02501
Soggetti	Hate speech - Law and legislation Hate speech - Philosophy
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Cover; Title; Copyright; Dedication; Contents; Table of Cases; Acknowledgments; 1 Introduction; 2 Ten Clusters of Laws/Regulations/Codes That Constrain Uses of Hate Speech; 2.1 Group Defamation; 2.2 Negative Stereotyping or Stigmatization; 2.3 The Expression of Hatred; 2.4 Incitement to Hatred; 2.5 Threats to Public Order; 2.6 Denying, etc. Acts of Mass Cruelty, Violence, or Genocide; 2.7 Dignitary Crimes or Torts; 2.8 Violations of Civil or Human Rights; 2.9 Expression-Oriented Hate Crimes; 2.10 Time, Place, and Manner Restrictions; 3 Principles of Basic Morality; 3.1 Health; 3.2 Autonomy 3.3 Security 3.4 Non-Subordination; 3.5 The Absence of Oppression; 3.6 Human Dignity; 4 Principles of Personal Development; 4.1 The

Discovery of Truth; 4.2 The Acquisition of Knowledge; 4.3 Self-Realization; 4.4 Human Excellence; 5 Principles of Civic Morality; 5.1 Civic Dignity; 5.2 Assurance; 5.3 Eligibility; 6 Principles of Cultural Diversity; 6.1 Culture; 6.2 Misrecognition; 6.3 Cultural Specificity; 6.4 Intercultural Dialogue; 7 Principles of Political Morality; 7.1 Democratic Self-Government; 7.2 Political Legitimacy; 7.3 Citizens as Legal Subjects; 8 Principles of Balance
8.1 Rights-Based Balancing8.2 Interests-Based Balancing; 9 Principia Juris; 9.1 Pressing Social Need; 9.2 Efficacy; 9.3 The Least Restrictive Alternative; 9.4 The Avoidance of Unintended Consequences for Free Speech; 9.5 Neutrality; 10 Toward a Theory of Principled Compromise; 10.1 Why Overall Warrant Should Be Neither about Lexical Priorities among Principles nor Balancing between Principles; 10.2 Overall Warrant as Compromise over Principles; 10.3 Conjunction Compromise; 10.4 Substitution Compromise; 10.5 A Detailed Illustration: The Principle of Neutrality; 10.6 The Ethics of Compromise
10.7 Two Possible Objections11 Conclusion; References; Index

Sommario/riassunto

Hate speech law can be found throughout the world. But it is also the subject of numerous principled arguments, both for and against. These principles invoke a host of morally relevant features (e.g., liberty, health, autonomy, security, non-subordination, the absence of oppression, human dignity, the discovery of truth, the acquisition of knowledge, self-realization, human excellence, civic dignity, cultural diversity and choice, recognition of cultural identity, intercultural dialogue, participation in democratic self-government, being subject only to legitimate rule) and practical considerations (e.g., efficacy, the least restrictive alternative, chilling effects). The book develops and then critically examines these various principled arguments. It also attempts to de-homogenize hate speech law into different clusters of laws/regulations/codes that constrain uses of hate speech, so as to facilitate a more nuanced examination of the principled arguments.
