

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910532048603321
Titolo	Framing community disaster resilience : resources, capacities, learning, and action / / edited by Hugh Deeming [and five others]
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Hoboken, New Jersey : , : Wiley Blackwell, , [2019] ©2019
ISBN	1-119-16601-2 1-119-16599-7 1-119-16604-7
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (307 pages)
Disciplina	363.347
Soggetti	Community organization Disaster victims Emergency management
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Intro -- Title Page -- Copyright Page -- Contents -- List of Contributors -- Chapter 1 Introduction -- 1.1 Book Content -- References -- Section I Conceptual and Theoretical Underpinnings to Community Disaster Resilience -- Chapter 2 Understanding Disaster Resilience: The emBRACE Approach -- 2.1 Introduction -- 2.2 Resilience: Concept -- 2.2.1 Resilience in the Social Domain -- 2.2.2 Resilience: An Outcome or a Process? -- 2.2.3 Resilience on Individual and Collective Levels -- 2.3 Resilience: Methodology -- 2.3.1 Social/Political Resilience -- 2.3.2 Linking Biophysical and Social Resilience -- 2.4 Resilience: Indicators -- 2.5 Gaps and Challenges -- 2.5.1 Challenges in the Transition from Ecology to Social Science -- 2.5.2 The Role of Power -- 2.5.3 Representation of Community -- 2.5.4 Transformation -- 2.5.5 Resourcefulness -- 2.6 Conclusion -- References -- Chapter 3 Mobilising Resources for Resilience -- 3.1 Introduction -- 3.2 Background: Origins of Livelihoods Thinking -- 3.2.1 Successes of SLAs: Changing the Way Development was Done -- 3.2.2 Key Criticisms and the Evolution of Livelihoods Thinking -- 3.2.3 A Closer Look at Social Capital: Background and Key Critiques -- 3.2.4

Summary -- 3.3 Resilience and Livelihoods Thinking -- 3.3.1 Why Disasters? -- 3.3.2 Livelihoods and Disaster Vulnerability -- 3.4 Influence of Livelihoods Thinking on Contemporary Disaster Resilience -- 3.4.1 Linking to Sustainable Livelihoods: Resources and Capacities -- 3.4.2 Community Actions -- 3.4.3 Community Learning -- 3.4.4 Summary -- References -- Chapter 4 Social Learning and Resilience Building in the emBRACE Framework -- 4.1 Introduction -- 4.2 What is Meant by Social Learning? -- 4.3 Capacities for Social Learning -- 4.4 Social Learning at the Individual Level -- 4.5 Social Learning at the Community Level. 4.6 Social Learning and Resilience Outcomes in the emBRACE Project -- 4.7 How Social Learning Provides Opportunities for Sharing Adaptive Thinking and Practice -- 4.8 Conclusion -- References -- Chapter 5 Wicked Problems: Resilience, Adaptation, and Complexity -- 5.1 Introduction -- 5.2 A Brief History of Policy 'Mess' and 'Wickedness' -- 5.2.1 'SuperWicked' Problems -- 5.3 Resilient and Adaptive Responses to Mess -- 5.4 Clumsy Solutions Linking DRR/DRM and CCA: A Mini Case Study -- 5.5 An emBRACE Model of Complex Adaptive Community Resilience -- 5.6 Conclusion -- References -- Section II Methods to 'Measure' Resilience - Data and Indicators -- Chapter 6 The emBRACE Resilience Framework: Developing an Integrated Framework for Evaluating Community Resilience to Natural Hazards -- 6.1 Introduction -- 6.2 Conceptual Tensions of Community Resilience -- 6.3 Developing the emBRACE Resilience Framework -- 6.3.1 Deductive Framework Development: A Structured Literature Review -- 6.3.2 Inductive Framework Development: Empirical Case Study Research -- 6.3.3 Participatory Assessment Workshops with Stakeholder Groups -- 6.3.4 Synthesis: An Iterative Process of Framework Development -- 6.4 The Conceptual Framework for Characterising Community Resilience -- 6.4.1 Intracommunity Domains of Resilience: Resources and Capacities, Action, and Learning -- 6.4.1.1 Resources and Capacities -- 6.4.1.2 Actions -- 6.4.1.3 Learning -- 6.4.2 Extracommunity Framing of Community Resilience -- 6.4.2.1 Disaster Risk Governance -- 6.4.2.2 NonDirectly HazardRelated Context, SocialEcological Change, and Disturbances -- 6.5 Discussion and Conclusion -- 6.5.1 Interlinkages between the Domains and Extracommunity Framing -- 6.5.2 Application and Operationalisation of the Framework in Indicator Based Assessments. 6.5.3 Reflections on the Results and emBRACE Methodology and Limits of the Findings -- References -- Chapter 7 Disaster Impact and Land Use Data Analysis in the Context of a ResilienceRelevant Footprint -- 7.1 Introduction -- 7.2 Data and Methodology -- 7.2.1 Data -- 7.2.2 Methodology -- 7.3 Results -- 7.3.1 National Scale -- 7.3.2 Regional Scale: Analysis of Landslides that Occurred Near a Change in LULC -- 7.3.3 Subnational Scale: Analysis of HTI Changes -- 7.3.4 Subnational Scale: Analysis of the LULC Changes in Time Domain -- 7.4 Conclusions and Discussions -- 7.4.1 Is There Any Relationship Between LULC and Landslide Events? -- 7.4.2 Is There Any Relationship Between a Change in LULC and a Landslide Event? -- 7.4.3 Is It Possible to Use LULC Data as a Footprint for Landslide Events? -- 7.4.4 Is It Possible to Use Disaster Footprint and Susceptibility for Resilience Research? -- 7.5 Conclusion -- References -- Chapter 8 Development of Quantitative Resilience Indicators for Measuring Resilience at the Local Level -- 8.1 Introduction -- 8.2 Stages of Indicator Operationalisation -- 8.3 Quantitative Indicator Development -- 8.4 Residence Time as Partial Resilience Indicator -- 8.5 Awareness through Past Natural Disasters as Partial Resilience Indicator -- 8.5.1 Single Factor Time -- 8.5.2 Single Factor Intensity -- 8.5.3 Single

Factor Distance -- 8.5.4 Combination of the Three Single Factors --
 8.6 Warning Services as Partial Resilience Indicators -- 8.7 Conclusion
 -- References -- Chapter 9 Managing Complex Systems: The Need to
 Structure Qualitative Data -- 9.1 Introduction -- 9.2 Mapping of Social
 Networks as a Measure of Community Resilience -- 9.2.1 Assessing
 Resilience Using Network Maps: The emBRACE Experience -- 9.3 Agent
 Based Models -- 9.3.1 Two Case Studies of ABM in emBRACE -- 9.4
 Other Qualitative DataStructuring Methodologies.
 9.5 Discussion -- 9.6 Conclusion -- References -- Chapter 10
 Combining Quantitative and Qualitative Indicators for Assessing
 Community Resilience to Natural Hazards -- 10.1 Introduction -- 10.2
 Current IndicatorBased Approaches for Assessing Community
 Resilience -- 10.3 From Concept to Assessment: The emBRACE
 Approach -- 10.3.1 Using Indicators for Assessing Community
 Resilience within emBRACE -- 10.3.2 The Process of Grounding our
 Indicators -- 10.4 Systematisation of Indicators -- 10.5 Deriving Key
 Indicators of Community Resilience -- 10.6 Conclusion -- References
 -- Section III Empirically Grounding the Resilience Concept -- Chapter
 11 Resilience, the Limits of Adaptation and the Need for
 Transformation in the Context of Multiple Flood Events in Central
 Europe -- 11.1 Introduction -- 11.2 Key Concepts for the Case Study
 -- 11.3 Insights into the Case Study Settings and Methods -- 11.3.1
 Flood Risk Management in Saxony and Bavaria -- 11.3.2 Methods
 of Case Study Research - Description of Empirical Work -- 11.3.2.1
 Interviews -- 11.3.2.2 Household Survey -- 11.4 Results
 of the Interviews: Resilience, Learning, and Transformation -- 11.5
 Results of the Household Survey: Resilience, Limits of Adaptation,
 and Responsibility -- 11.5.1 Impacts of (Multiple) Flood Experience --
 11.5.2 Perception of Responsibility in Flood Risk Management --
 11.5.3 Attitudes towards Participation -- 11.6 Community Resilience
 and the Idea of Transformation -- References -- Chapter 12 River
 and Surface Water Flooding in Northern England: The Civil Protection
 Social Protection Nexus -- 12.1 Introduction -- 12.2 Conceptualising
 Community -- 12.3 Methods -- 12.4 Results -- 12.4.1 Rural Resilience
 -- 12.4.2 Urban Resilience -- 12.4.2.1 Keswick -- 12.4.2.2
 Cockermouth -- 12.4.2.3 Workington -- 12.5 Discussion
 and Conclusions -- References.
 Chapter 13 The Role of Risk Perception and Community Networks in
 Preparing for and Responding to Landslides: A Dolomite Case Study --
 13.1 Introduction -- 13.2 Badia and the Alpine Context -- 13.3 Two
 Types of Communities and a Mixed Method Approach -- 13.4 Risk
 Perception, Risk Attitude, and Response Behaviour -- 13.4.1 Risk
 Behaviour Profiles -- 13.4.1.1 Temporal Variation in People's
 Perception of Response and Recovery Actions -- 13.5 Community
 Networks -- 13.6 Conclusions and Discussion -- References --
 Chapter 14 The Social Life of Heatwave in London: Recasting the Role
 of Community and Resilience -- 14.1 Introduction -- 14.2
 Methodology -- 14.2.1 Community Resilience or Resilience
 from Community? -- 14.2.1.1 Community and the Elderly -- 14.2.1.2
 Resilience and Community Ties -- 14.2.2 Rethinking the Normatives
 of Heatwave Management: Family, Social Ties, and the Collectivity --
 14.2.2.1 Loneliness, Social Networks, and Community -- 14.2.2.2
 Rethinking Social Network and Social Capital as Vulnerability Factors --
 14.2.2.3 Social Capital, Fragmented Community, and New Vulnerability
 -- 14.3 Conclusion -- References -- Further Reading -- Chapter 15
 Perceptions of Individual and Community Resilience to Earthquakes: A
 Case Study from Turkey -- 15.1 Introduction -- 15.2 Context
 of the Case Study -- 15.2.1 Van: The Earthquakes

and Sociodemographic Context -- 15.2.2 Adapazar/Sakarya:
The Earthquake and Sociodemographic Context -- 15.2.3 Risk
Governance Setting in Turkey -- 15.3 Main Aims and Research
Questions -- 15.4 Methodological Approaches -- 15.4.1 InDepth
Interviews -- 15.4.2 Focus Groups -- 15.5 Perceptions of Resilience
According to the emBRACE Framework -- 15.5.1 Resources
and Capacities -- 15.5.2 Learning -- 15.5.3 Context -- 15.6
Discussion and Conclusions -- References -- Conclusions -- Index --
Supplemental Images -- EULA.
