1. Record Nr. UNINA9910511618103321 Autore Burke Jarleth Titolo A critical account of Article 106(2) TFEU: government failure in public service provision Oxford [UK];; Portland, Oregon:,: Hart Publishing,, 2018 Pubbl/distr/stampa **ISBN** 1-5099-1276-2 1-5099-1273-8 Descrizione fisica 1 online resource Collana Hart studies in competition law:: 18 Disciplina 343.2408 Restraint of trade - European Union countries Soggetti Trade regulation - European Union countries Electronic books. Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di bibliografia In the general interest? -- The pathology of government failure -- The Nota di contenuto contestation and indeterminacy of Article 106(2) -- A most contingent exemption -- Government failure in assessing market feasibility --Government failure in disapplication review -- Contours of a better exemption. Sommario/riassunto A Critical Account of Article 106(2) TFEU: Government Failure in Public Service Provision offers a sceptical perspective on how EU law applies to public services. Article 106(2) provides that other Treaty rules may be disapplied in order to sustain a Service of General Economic Interest (SGEI). The rhetorical presentation of Article 106(2) is as a strict exception. As a result, Article 106(2) is often presented as a threat to Europe's public service tradition. This book challenges those shibboleths by using the concept of government failure. It is concerned with instances of government intervention that are unnecessary, generate avoidable inefficiencies, or that can be bettered so as to realise general interest goals more efficaciously. As an element of the government failure critique, a market feasibility test incorporating the

concept of market failure is used to expose laxity in the review of general interests under Article 106(2). Complementing that, the

process of disapplying other Treaty rules under Article 106(2) is shown

to have evolved from being strict to being highly indulgent of SGEI providers, with a relatively recent but only partial correction post Altmark. Overall, the strict exception label for Article 106(2) does not hold. Moreover, it is contingent and presents no legitimate general interest related threat to the organisation and delivery of public services. A comprehensive re-orientation of Article 106(2) on issues of proof is required, as is greater reliance on market counterfactuals, and much more careful separation of objectives and means in SGEI operation and design. Through these measures, the toleration of government failure can be stemmed and Article 106(2)'s contingency reduced