

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910511508503321
Autore	Brand Ronald A
Titolo	Forum non conveniens : history, global practice, and future under the Hague Convention on Choice of Court Agreements // Ronald A. Brand, Scott R. Jablonski
Pubbl/distr/stampa	New York, : Oxford University Press, c2007
ISBN	0-19-985534-X 1-283-11608-1 9786613116086 0-19-974508-0
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (359 p.)
Collana	CILE studies ; ; v. 3
Altri autori (Persone)	JablonskiScott R
Disciplina	347.012
Soggetti	Forum non conveniens Conflict of laws - Jurisdiction
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Description based upon print version of record.
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	<p>""TABLE OF CONTENTS""; ""PREFACE""; ""CHAPTER 1: COMMON LAW FORUM NON CONVENIENS: FOUR COUNTRIES, FOUR APPROACHES""; ""CHAPTER 2: THE UNITED KINGDOM""; ""I. Scotland: From forum non competens to most appropriate forum""; ""II. England: From reticence to recognition""; ""A. First steps: the oppressive and vexatious principle""; ""B. The most suitable forum approach""; ""C. Modern forum non conveniens doctrine in England""; ""III. The impact of the Brussels Convention and Regulation""; ""A. The basic framework""; ""B. Owusu v. Jackson""</p> <p>""IV. Current forum non conveniens analysis in the United Kingdom""</p> <p>CHAPTER 3: THE UNITED STATES""; ""I. Introduction""; ""II. Early development""; ""A. Admiralty roots in the federal courts""; ""B. State courts and constitutional issues""; ""C. Extending the doctrine beyond admiralty cases""; ""III. Modern forum non conveniens doctrine""; ""A. Gilbert and Koster: The foundations of current doctrine""; ""B. Federal transfer rules""; ""C. Jurisdictional developments limiting the need for forum non conveniens""; ""D. Refinement in the Supreme Court: Piper Aircraft""</p>

""CHAPTER 5: AUSTRALIA""I. Between ""vexation and oppression"" and the ""most appropriate forum""; ""II. The ""clearly inappropriate forum"" test""; ""A. Oceanic Sun Line Special Shipping Co. Inc. v. Fay""; ""B. Voth v. Manildra Flour Mills Pty. Ltd""; ""C. Dow Jones & Co., Inc. v. Gutnick""; ""III. Internal case allocation: the Cross-Vesting Act""; ""IV. Summary and conclusion""; ""CHAPTER 6: SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES IN COMMON LAW FORUM NON CONVENIENS DOCTRINE""; ""I. Introduction""; ""II. Similarities in forum non conveniens doctrines"" ""A. The requirement of an available, alternative forum"" ""B. Allocation of the general burden of proof on the defendant""; ""C. Consideration of private interest factors""; ""D. Trial court discretion in applying forum non conveniens analysis""; ""E. Ability to impose conditions on a stay or dismissal""; ""F. Benefits of existing similarities in comparative analysis""; ""III. Differences in forum non conveniens doctrines""; ""A. Distinctions setting Australia apart""; ""B. Distinctions setting the United States apart""; ""C. Other distinctions"" ""IV. Conclusions: similarity with distinctions""

Sommario/riassunto

Giving an in-depth analysis of the doctrine of forum non conveniens as it has evolved, this book focuses on four major common law countries - UK, US, Canada, and Australia. It analyzes the similarities and differences of the doctrine among those four countries, comparing this to analogous laws found in civil law countries.
