Record Nr.	UNINA9910490023403321
Autore	Neumann Michael
Titolo	Motives and functions of patenting in public basic science / / Michael Neumann
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Wiesbaden, Germany : , : Springer Gabler, , [2021] ©2021
ISBN	3-658-33122-4
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (281 pages)
Collana	Springer Gabler Research
Disciplina	346.430486
Soggetti	Patent laws and legislation - Germany Science Patent laws and legislation
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di contenuto	Intro Abstract Table of Contents List of Figures List of Tables Acronyms Preface Acknowledgments 1 Introduction 1.1 Patents in Regulatory Complexity 1.2 Defining Public Basic Science 1.2.1 The linear model: An old but surviving approach 1.2.2 The quadrant model 1.2.3 The social system model 1.2.4 Social norms in science 1.3 Shifts in Research Policy - The Rise of the Third Mission 1.4 A Primer on Patents in Science 1.4.1 Patents as regulatory instruments 1.4.2 Patentable basic science? 1.4.3 Academic patents as service inventions 1.4.4 Disclosure 1.4.5 Exclusive rights and their exemptions 1.4.6 Summary 1.5 The Resulting Friction: Private Ownership, Third Mission, and Basic Science 1.5.1 Theoretical concerns 1.5.2 Evidence 1.6 Research Question: What Are the Real Consequences of Theoretical Friction in Institutional Complexity? 1.7 Methodological Considerations 1.7.1 Context and data 1.7.2 Analysis of individual attitudes and strategies toward patenting 1.8 Thesis Structure 2 Methodology 2.1 Research Design 2.1.1 Why a Case Study? 2.1.2 What is the "case?" 2.1.3 Choice of case study type 2.2 Research Questions 2.3 Prior Information and Theoretical Propositions: 2.4 Units of Analysis 2.4.1 Scientists in Germany 2.4.2 Non-university research 2.4.3 Public basic science

1.

-- 2.4.4 Technologically relevant disciplines -- 2.5 Data Collection and Processing -- 2.5.1 Interview design -- 2.5.2 Interview selection --2.5.3 Interview process -- 2.5.4 Transcription -- 2.5.5 Translation --2.6 Interview Analysis -- 2.6.1 Coding -- 2.6.2 Interpretation --2.6.2.1 Pattern matching -- 2.6.2.2 Explanation-building -- 2.6.2.3 The role of the Knowledge Commons Framework -- 2.7 Quality Criteria.

3 Theory: Community Governance and Patenting in Science -- 3.1 Commons Governance as a Research Perspective -- 3.1.1 Default neutrality towards markets, authority, and community management --3.1.1.1 Three forms of neutrality -- 3.1.1.2 Theoretical fundaments of descriptive neutrality -- 3.1.2 Understanding institutional diversity and complexity -- 3.2 Analytical Tools of the Commons Perspective --3.2.1 The IAD framework -- 3.2.1.1 Resource characteristics -- 3.2.1.2 Attributes of the community -- 3.2.1.3 Rules-in-use -- 3.2.1.4 Action arena -- 3.2.1.5 Action-outcome linkages -- 3.2.1.6 Patterns of interaction -- 3.2.1.7 Evaluative criteria: -- 3.2.2 Multiple sources of rule-making -- 3.2.3 Multiple levels of rule-making -- 3.2.4 A grammar of institutions - What kind of rules are established? -- 3.2.5 Applying the tools: Dissecting rules-in-use of the patent system --3.2.5.1 Rules -- 3.2.5.2 Norms -- 3.2.5.3 Strategies -- 3.2.5.4 Resulting explanatory advantages -- 3.2.6 Summary -- 3.3 Commons Governance as a Research Object -- 3.3.1 Commons - A disambiguation -- 3.3.2 Common-property regimes: Legal variables of community governance -- 3.3.2.1 Property rules as bundle-of-rights -- 3.3.2.2 Manageable rules-in-use in community governance -- 3.3.3 Common-pool resources - Economic variables in community governance -- 3.4 Cultural Variables in Community Governance --3.4.1 "Culture" as a category of informal institutions -- 3.4.2 Interplay of informal and formal institutions -- 3.4.2.1 Substituting formal institutions -- 3.4.2.2 Invalidating formal institutions -- 3.4.2.3 Counteracting formal institutions -- 3.4.3 Summary -- 3.5 Community Governance as Self-Regulation -- 3.5.1 Main elements of commons governance -- 3.5.2 Openness as an important property of commons governance -- 3.5.3 Summary -- 3.6 Peculiarities of Knowledge Commons.

3.6.1 The interdependency of economic variables and rules-in-use --3.6.2 The need for knowledge to be created -- 3.6.3 Specific types of governance failures -- 3.6.4 Summary: Complexity in the governance of knowledge commons -- 3.7 Understanding Science as a Commons -- 3.7.1 What makes commons "scientific"? -- 3.7.2 What makes science a commons? -- 3.7.2.1 Science as a community-based activity -- 3.7.2.2 Science as a self-governed resource production system --3.7.2.3 Mertonian norms as informal institutions in open selfgovernance -- 3.7.2.4 The "open-science" paradigm as a reminder for the rationality and efficiency of communalism for science -- 3.7.3 Nestedness of scientific commons -- 3.7.4 Commons governance in science -- 3.7.4.1 Community governance in research organizations --3.7.4.2 Governance of scientific resource systems -- 3.7.5 Summary: Science as a commons vs. scientific commons -- 3.8 Two Explanations for Overvaluations of Anticommons in Science -- 3.8.1 Instrumentalism I: Patent instrumentalism on research instruments -- 3.8.2 Instrumentalism II: Exogenous patents versus endogenous selfgovernance -- 3.8.3 Anticommons effects in institutional complexity --3.9 Chapter Summary -- 4 Policy Analysis: Patenting for the Third Mission -- 4.1 Shifts in Research Policy - The Rise of the "Third Mission" of Public Basic Science -- 4.1.1 Historical perspective -- 4.1.2 Conceptual perspective -- 4.1.3 The regulatory perspective -- 4.1.3.1

Modes and sources of regulation -- 4.1.3.2 New Public Management as market-oriented regulation -- 4.1.4 Policy tools to create regulatory overlaps for the Third Mission -- 4.2 Expectations and Mission Formulation -- 4.2.1 European level -- 4.2.2 National level -- 4.2.3 Organizational level -- 4.3 Third Mission-Directed Resources -- 4.3.1 European level -- 4.3.2 National level -- 4.3.3 Organizational level. 4.4 Mission-Directed Evaluation -- 4.4.1 European level -- 4.4.2 National level -- 4.4.3 Organizational level -- 4.4.4 Properties of polycentrism -- 4.4.5 Regulatory indetermination of polycentric environments -- 4.5 Summary: Patents as a Proxy for the "Third Mission" -- 4.5.1 Embeddedness of patents in the governance of science -- 4.5.2 Exogenous Third-Mission regulations in the IAD framework -- 5 Empirics: Patenting Motives in Basic Science -- 5.1 Basic Science Defined by Basic Scientists -- 5.1.1 Institutional environment - Basic science as a mission -- 5.1.2 Personal motives: Individual quests for understanding -- 5.1.3 Research activities --5.1.4 Research results -- 5.1.5 Sources of innovation: Four dimensions of basic research -- 5.1.5.1 Patentability of basic research results --5.1.5.2 Sample selection in view of the research question -- 5.1.5.3 Empirical implications for theoretical models of science -- 5.2 How are Scientists Affected by Existing Patents? -- 5.2.1 Passive ignorance of patents -- 5.2.2 Assessing patent content -- 5.2.3 Active ignorance of patents -- 5.2.4 Two sides of the ignore-patents norm -- 5.3 Mental Models of Intellectual Property and the Third Mission -- 5.3.1 The traditional First Mission versus the new Third Mission -- 5.3.1.1 Summary: Mertonian disinterestedness -- 5.3.2 Mental models of patents and intellectual property -- 5.3.2.1 Sources of learning, and weak initial IP-awareness -- 5.3.2.2 Inclusive understanding of ownership -- 5.3.2.3 Open property -- 5.3.2.4 Summary: Mertonian communalism -- 5.3.3 Mertonian norms: Ideals and reality -- 5.3.3.1 Different starting conditions -- 5.3.3.2 Factors of changing norms and habits -- 5.3.4 Summary: Pragmatism about Mertonian norms -- 5.4 Resulting Motives not to Patent -- 5.4.1 Academic culture -- 5.4.2 Improving via sharing.

5.4.3 Priority for science and cost-benefit considerations -- 5.4.3.1 Summary: Reasons not to patent -- 5.5 Motives for Patenting -- 5.5.1 Private income - or not? -- 5.5.2 Protection for diffusion into application -- 5.5.2.1 Transfer to application by licensing -- 5.5.2.2 Transfer to application by creating spin-offs -- 5.5.2.3 Own translational research, before transfer to application -- 5.5.3 Access to funding -- 5.5.4 Patents used as a certificate and signal -- 5.5.5 Individual signaling -- 5.5.5.1 Certifying priority and inventiveness --5.5.5.2 Addressing industrial labor markets -- 5.5.5.3 Addressing the academic labor markets -- 5.5.5.4 Summary: Individual signaling --5.5.6 Organizational signaling -- 5.5.6.1 Meeting public expectations -- 5.5.6.2 Qualitative signaling -- 5.5.6.3 Quantitative signaling --5.5.7 Patenting motives: Conclusion -- 5.6 Scientific Forms of Strategic Patenting -- 5.6.1 Patenting motives between external incentives and intrinsic motivation -- 5.6.2 Patenting motives and self-determination theory in the IAD framework -- 5.6.3 Patenting strategies in science and industry -- 5.6.3.1 Comparison of academic and commercial strategies -- 5.6.3.2 Variation of patenting motives over organizational levels -- 5.7 Chapter Summary -- 6 Synthesis: Contextual Patent Functions -- 6.1 Disambiguating Legitimation, Purpose, and Function of Patents -- 6.1.1 An instrumentalist view on patents -- 6.1.2 A look back -- 6.1.2.1 A closer look: Prospect theory -- 6.1.2.2 Primary and secondary functions -- 6.1.3 Fencing a moving target: Distinguishing micro-functions and macro-purposes -- 6.1.3.1 More abstract views

-- 6.1.3.2 Macro-purpose and micro-function -- 6.1.4 Summary --6.2 Functions as Contextual Action-Outcome Links -- 6.2.1 Shortcomings in the previous view -- 6.2.1.1 Legislative purpose vs. actual consequences. 6.2.1.2 Heterogeneous sources and purposes of regulation.