

|                         |  |
|-------------------------|--|
| 1. Record Nr.           | UNINA9910488703703321  |
| Titolo                  | Class actions in Europe : Holy Grail or a wrong trail? // Alan Uzelac and Stefaan Voet (editors)   |
| Pubbl/distr/stampa      | Cham, Switzerland : , : Springer, , [2021]<br>©2021  |
| ISBN                    | 3-030-73036-0  |
| Descrizione fisica      | 1 online resource (397 pages)  |
| Collana                 | Ius Gentium: Comparative Perspectives on Law and Justice Ser. ; ; v.89   |
| Disciplina              | 347.053  |
| Soggetti                | Class actions (Civil procedure) - Europe<br>Class actions (Civil procedure)<br>Europe  |
| Lingua di pubblicazione | Inglese  |
| Formato                 | Materiale a stampa   |
| Livello bibliografico   | Monografia   |
| Nota di bibliografia    | Includes bibliographical references.   |
| Nota di contenuto       | Intro -- Preface -- Contents -- Editors and Contributors -- Part I: Introduction -- Collectivization of European Civil Procedure: Are We Finally Close to a (Negative) Utopia? -- 1 Approaching the Elusive Target: The Rise of Collective Redress on the Old Continent -- 2 Class Actions in Europe -- 3 Critical Perspectives on Collective Redress -- 4 Many Faces of Collective Litigation: European Perspectives -- 5 Global Perspectives on Collective Redress -- 6 A Wrong Trail? Some Concluding Remarks on the Risks of Collectivization -- References -- Part II: Critical Perspectives on Collective Redress -- Evaluating Collective Redress: Models, Evidence, Outcomes and Policy -- 1 The Objectives -- 1.1 Analysing the Objectives -- 1.1.1 Delivering Justice -- 1.1.2 Upholding the Law -- 1.1.3 Affecting Behaviour -- 1.2 Criminal Enforcement: Beyond Deterrence -- 1.3 The Importance of Empirical Evidence -- 2 Evaluating the Collective Redress Mechanisms in Europe -- 2.1 Class Actions -- 2.2 Criminal-Civil Piggyback -- 2.3 Regulatory Redress -- 2.4 Ombudsmen -- 2.5 Personal Injuries: Administrative Schemes -- 3 Conclusions: What Should Policy Be? -- References -- For the Defense: 28 Shades of European Class Actions -- 1 Introduction -- 2 A Brief History of Modern American Defense Efforts at Tort and Litigation Reform in the United States -- 2.1 The Tort and Litigation Reform Movement Generally -- 2.2 The Class Action |

Litigation Reform Movement -- 2.3 The Class Litigation and Tort Reform Principles Developed in the American Conservative Reform Agenda -- 3 The EU Principles to Guide Legislative Initiatives for Member States Collective Redress Mechanisms -- 4 The 28 EU Member States Enact Collective Redress Mechanisms: Legislative Initiatives That American Defense Lawyers Could App.

4.1 Availability of Collective Redress Through Injunctive or Compensatory Mechanisms -- 4.2 Standing and Admissibility Criteria: Relation to the American Certification Requirement -- 4.3 The Loser Pay Rule -- 4.4 Attorneys' Fees -- 4.5 Punitive Damages -- 4.6 Third-Party Financing -- 4.7 The Continental Opt-In Preference -- 5

Conclusion -- References -- Part III: Many Faces of Collective Litigation: European Perspectives -- The Dawn of Collective Redress 3.0 in France? -- 1 Introduction -- 2 Collective Redress 1.0 (1980s-2014): The Tortuous Search for a 'French-Style' Group Action -- 2.1 An Incomplete Toolbox for Handling Mass Litigation -- 2.2 Group Actions in France: Mission Possible, Finally! -- 3 Collective Redress 2.0 (2014-2019): Fast Developments But Mixed Results -- 3.1 A New Instrument: The 'Action de Groupe' -- 3.2 Patchy Developments -- 3.3 A Half-Full Glass -- 4 Towards Collective Redress 3.0 (2020 and Beyond): Digitalisation and Europeanisation -- 4.1 Digitalisation: Legaltech for Mass Litigation -- 4.2 Europeanisation: The (Likely) Effects of the EU Initiative in France -- 5 Concluding Remarks -- References -- From Injunction and Settlement to Action: Collective Redress and Funding in the Netherlands -- 1 Introduction -- 2 Collective Redress in the Netherlands: Something Old, Something New -- 2.1 Pre-Existing Collective Redress Mechanisms and Its Shortcomings -- 2.2 First Fix: Damages Scheduling Via Collective Settlements -- 2.3 Second Fix: Better Collective Settlements Via Supreme Court Oversight -- 2.4 Third Fix: Better Settlements Under Court Supervision -- 2.5 Fourth Fix: Claim Codes for Ad Hoc Foundations -- 2.6 On the Way to a New Regime -- 3 The New Collective Action for Damages: WAMCA -- 3.1 Four Stages -- 3.2 Main Features -- 3.3 Some Criticism and Challenges -- 3.4 WAMCA and the Netherlands Commercial Court.

4 Financing Collective Redress -- 4.1 Introduction -- 4.2 TPF Under the Old Regime and WCAM -- 4.3 TPF and Cost Orders Under WAMCA -- 5 Dutch Collective Redress in an International Perspective -- 5.1 Global Aspirations of the WCAM and Criticism -- 5.2 Scope Rule: The End of Global Dutch Collective Redress? -- 5.3 Dutch Collective Redress and EU Developments -- 6 Concluding Remarks -- References -- Case Law -- Class Actions in Belgium: Evaluation and the Way Forward -- 1 Introduction -- 2 Belgium's (Consumer) Class Action -- 2.1 Class Action Prerequisites -- 2.1.1 Consumer Law and SMEs Disputes -- 2.1.2 Standing -- 2.1.3 Superiority -- 2.2 Brussels Courts -- 2.3 Opt-In or Opt-Out -- 2.4 Procedure -- 2.4.1 Settlement Before the Proceedings -- 2.4.2 No Settlement Before the Proceedings -- 2.5 Collective Redress in Kind or by Monetary Payment -- 2.6 Enforcement Phase: Collective Claims Settler -- 3 Case Law and Evaluation -- 3.1 Case Law -- 3.2 Evaluation -- 3.2.1 Scope -- 3.2.2 Class Representative -- 3.2.3 Funding and Financing -- 3.2.4 One Exclusive Class Action Court -- 3.2.5 Procedure -- 4 Alternative Ways to Achieve Collective Redress -- 4.1 CDR -- 4.2 Regulatory Redress -- 5

Conclusion -- References -- Class Actions and Group Litigation: A Norwegian Perspective -- 1 Introduction -- 2 Dispute Act Chapter 35: Class Actions -- 3 Opt In and Opt Out -- 4 Costs -- 5 The Use of the Norwegian Rule Set -- 6 Collective Litigation -- 7 Have the Class Action Rules Been a Success? -- 8 Cherry-Picking from the Common Law Tradition -- References -- Group Actions in East-Nordic Legal Culture

-- 1 Introduction -- 2 East-Nordic Legal Culture -- 2.1 Starting Point -- 2.2 Folksy Legal Culture -- 2.3 Current Effects in Legal Culture -- 2.4 Group Action as a Legal Transplant -- 2.5 Nordic Law -- 3 Scandinavian Realism -- 3.1 Background. 3.2 Compared with American Realism -- 3.3 The Connection with Pragmatism -- 3.4 Group Actions in This Context -- 4 Sustainability -- 4.1 Sustainability in Proceedings -- 4.2 Dimensions of Sustainable Justice -- 5 The Legal Culture of Group Actions and Group Actions in the Legal Culture -- References -- Rebooting Italian Class Actions -- 1 Introduction -- 2 Setting the Scene -- 3 The New Regulation of Italian Collective Redress: The Scope of Application -- 4 The Procedure -- 4.1 The Opt-in Option -- 4.2 The Procedure After the Certification Stage. New Features of the Evidence-Taking Phase -- 4.3 Further Procedural Novelties -- 5 Collective Actions for Injunctive Relief -- 6 Final Remarks -- References -- Challenges in Drafting and Applying the New Slovenian Collective Actions Act -- 1 Introduction -- 2 Collective Redress Available in Slovenia Before the CAA -- 2.1 Collective Redress Stricto Sensu -- 2.2 General Procedural Mechanisms -- 2.3 Assignment of Claims -- 3 CAA Drafting Process -- 4 Main Provisions of the CAA -- 4.1 Scope of Application -- 4.2 Temporal Application -- 4.3 Jurisdiction -- 4.4 Standing and Representation -- 4.5 Certification Criteria for Compensatory Collective Actions -- 4.6 Registry of Collective Actions -- 4.7 Opt-in or Opt-out -- 4.8 Awarding and Distribution of Damages -- 4.9 Costs and Funding -- 4.10 Collective Settlement -- 4.11 Collective Injunctive Actions -- 5 CAA in Action -- 6 The Future of Collective Redress in Slovenia -- References -- The Lessons of Airfreight Cartel: Mechanisms of Coordination of Parallel Collective Lawsuits in Several Jurisdictions? -- 1 The Complexity of the International Airfreight Cartel -- 2 Stakes in Coordination of Parallel Collective Lawsuits -- 2.1 International Class or Group as the Main Issue in Coordination of Parallel Collective Lawsuits. 2.2 Jurisdictional Stake in Coordination of Parallel Collective Redress -- 3 Overview of Mechanisms of Coordination of Parallel Cross-Border Lawsuits -- 4 Toleration of Foreign Proceedings and ``Related Class Actions`` -- 5 Coordination by a Special Panel Allocating (International) Jurisdiction -- 6 Coordination by the Doctrine of Forum Non Conveniens -- 7 Coordination by Anti-Suit Injunctions -- 8 Coordination by the Rule of Lis Pendens -- 9 Lis Pendens in Collective Redress: A Quebec Experience -- 10 Lis Pendens and Directive 2009/22/EC and Directive (EU) 2020/1828 -- 11 Related Actions -- 12 Agreements on Prorogation of Jurisdiction -- 13 Conclusion -- References -- Collective Redress in the EU: Will It Finally Come True? -- 1 Introduction -- 2 Habemus EU Collective Redress! -- 2.1 Unsuccessful Previous EU Initiatives -- 2.2 The ``New Deal for Consumers`` and the RAD Proposal -- 2.3 The Interinstitutional Negotiations -- 2.4 The Political Deal of June 2020 -- 3 Converting the Collective Redress Try at Member States Levels -- 3.1 Directive 2020/1828 and its Implementation at the National Levels -- 3.2 Upgrading the EU Private International Framework -- 4 Conclusion -- References -- Part IV: Global Perspectives on Collective Redress -- The State of Reform in First and Second Generation Class Action Jurisdictions -- 1 Introduction -- 2 Procedural Frameworks in the U.S. A., Australia, Israel and Canada -- 3 State of Reforms -- 3.1 The United States -- 3.1.1 FICALA -- 3.1.2 Rule Amendment -- 3.1.3 Court Guidance -- 3.2 Australia -- 3.2.1 The Victorian Law Reform Commission -- 3.2.2 Australian Law Reform Commission -- 3.3 Israel -- 3.4 Canada -- 4 Comparative Law Reform -- 5 Conclusion -- References -- Empirical Data and the Powerful Lessons Learnt About

