Record Nr. UNINA9910484807803321 Autore Lim Daniel F. Titolo God and Mental Causation [[electronic resource] /] / by Daniel Lim Berlin, Heidelberg:,: Springer Berlin Heidelberg:,: Imprint: Springer, Pubbl/distr/stampa 2015 3-662-47426-3 **ISBN** Edizione [1st ed. 2015.] Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (110 p.) Collana SpringerBriefs in Philosophy, , 2211-4548 Disciplina 10 128.2 200 210 Soggetti Religion—Philosophy Religion Philosophy of mind Philosophy of Religion Religious Studies, general Philosophy of Mind Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia Note generali Description based upon print version of record. Nota di bibliografia Includes bibliographical references and index. Nota di contenuto Chapter 1: The Supervenience Argument -- Chapter 2: Occasionalism -- Chapter 3: Overdetermination and Exclusion -- Chapter 4: The Existence of God. This book lies at the intersection of philosophy of mind and philosophy Sommario/riassunto of religion and operates on the assumption that dialogue between the two disciplines can be fruitful. In particular it focuses on how debates in the philosophy of mind regarding the nature of mental causation relate to debates in the philosophy of religion regarding divine action, creaturely causation, and existence of God. The book is divided into two parts. The first deals with Jaegwon Kim's so-called Supervenience Argument (SA) against non-reductive physicalism. One important observation is that the structural similarities between non-reductive physicalism and 'orthodox' theism make it convenient to co-opt non-

reductive physicalist solutions to the SA in defending the possibility of

creaturely causation in the philosophy of religion. The SA is used as a foil to discuss the relative merits of Malebranche's so-called Conservation is Continuous Creation Argument for Occasionalism (CCCA). Moverover, the so-called compatibilist strategy (Karen Bennett 2003, 2009) for developing a non-reductive physicalist response to the Supervenience Argument is defended and developed. This strategy is then deployed in the philosophy of religion to defend the possibility of creaturely causation against the CCCA.