

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910483944503321
Titolo	YSEC yearbook of socio-economic constitutions 2020 : a Common European Law on Investment Screening (CELIS) // Steffen Hindelang, Andreas Moberg, editors
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Cham, Switzerland : , : Springer, , [2021] ©2021
ISBN	3-030-43757-4
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (xi, 852 pages)
Collana	YSEC Yearbook of Socio-Economic Constitutions ; ; v.2020
Disciplina	346.4092
Soggetti	Investments - Law and legislation - European Union countries
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di contenuto	Intro -- Foreword: A Common European Law on Investment Screening -- Contents -- Towards a ``Common European Law on Investment Screening (CELIS)'' -- Part I: Economic and Political Context -- The Political Economy of Capital Controls and Liberalization in the European Union -- 1 Introduction -- 2 A Brief Historical Overview -- 2.1 Economic Motives -- 2.2 Political Motives -- 3 The Erga Omnes Principle -- 4 History Revisited: The Case of Japan -- 5 The European Investment Screening Proposals -- 6 Conclusion -- References -- Investment Screening: The Return of Protectionism? A Business Perspective -- 1 The Issue -- 2 The EU Reaction and Its Legal Implications -- 3 Evaluation from a Business Perspective -- 3.1 Investment Screening Mechanism -- 3.2 EU Investment Policy -- 4 Conclusion -- References -- Investment Screening: The Return of Protectionism? A Political Account -- 1 Introduction -- 2 Calls for a More Restrictive Approach to FDI -- 3 Calls for a Liberal Approach to FDIs -- 4 Contextualisation of the EU Screening Regulation -- 5 Conclusion -- References -- The Birth of the EU Screening Regulation -- 1 Introduction -- 2 The EU Needs to Ensure a Level Playing Field for Foreign Direct Investments -- 3 How Does the Screening of FDI Fit in the Framework of EU Law? -- 3.1 Free Movement of Capital -- 3.2 Definition of Foreign Direct Investment -- 3.3 Security Reviews -- 4 From Idea to Regulation: The Evolution of the EU Screening Regulation

-- 4.1 EPP Group Proposal for a Union Act on the Screening of Foreign Investment in Strategic Sectors -- 4.2 Light Bulb Moment: State of Union in September 2017 -- 4.3 The EC Proposal from September 2017 -- 4.4 Position of the European Parliament as Adopted in May 2018 -- 4.5 The Provisional First Reading Agreement (Trilogue) -- 4.6 The Achievement of the First Reading Agreement: A Win-Win Situation. 5 Conclusions -- References -- Part II: The European Origins - the EU Member States' Rules on Screening Foreign Investment -- Foreign Investment Screening in Germany and France -- 1 Introduction -- 2 Germany -- 2.1 Cross-Sectoral Review -- 2.1.1 Scope of Application -- 2.1.1.1 Object of Acquisition: "Domestic Company" -- Definition of "Domestic" -- Definition of "Company" -- 2.1.1.2 Foreign Investor -- Parallel Application on State and Private Investors -- Prevention of Misuse and Circumvention Pursuant to Sec. 55(2) AWV -- 2.1.1.3 Acquisition Transaction (Erwerbsgeschäft) -- Company Acquisition -- Acquisition of Shareholding -- Acquisition Threshold -- Attribution of Voting Rights -- 2.1.1.4 Acquisition of Further Shareholdings -- 2.1.1.5 Iterative Acquisition of Additional Voting Rights -- 2.1.1.6 Agreements on Exercising Voting Rights -- 2.1.1.7 Indirect Acquisitions -- 2.1.2 Threat to Public Order or Security -- 2.1.3 Scrutiny Procedure -- 2.1.4 The Ministry's Powers to Act -- 2.1.5 Certificate of Non-objection Pursuant to Sec. 58 AWV -- 2.1.6 Legal Consequences -- 2.1.7 Legal Protection -- 2.2 Sector-Specific Review -- 2.2.1 General -- 2.2.1.1 Scope of Application -- 2.2.1.2 Threat to "Essential Security Interests" -- 2.2.2 Scrutiny Procedure -- 2.3 Comparative Description -- 3 France -- 3.1 Investment Control Regime -- 3.1.1 Regulated Economic Sectors -- 3.1.2 Definition of "Foreign Investor" -- 3.1.3 Authorization Requirement -- 3.1.3.1 EU Investor -- 3.1.3.2 Non-EU Investor -- 3.1.3.3 French-Controlled Foreign Investor -- 3.1.4 Exemptions -- 3.2 Scrutiny Procedure -- 3.3 National Interest Clearance/Ministry's Power to Act -- 3.4 Legal Consequences -- 3.5 Sanctions -- 3.6 Legal Protection -- 4 Implications of the EU Screening Regulation -- References -- Foreign Investment Screening in Italy, Spain, Portugal and Greece. 1 Introduction -- 2 Investment Screening in Greece and the Lack of a Formal Screening Mechanism -- 2.1 Private Investment Aid Schemes: Law 4399 of 2016 -- 2.2 The Promotion of Strategic Investment: Laws 4146/2013 and 3908/2011 -- 2.3 The EU Screening Regulation and the Absence of a Screening Mechanism -- 3 A Liberal Approach to Foreign Investment: Spain's Screening Mechanism -- 3.1 The Scope of Application of the Spanish Screening Mechanism -- 3.2 The Screening Procedure -- 3.3 Considerations on the Implementation of the EU Screening Regulation in Spain -- 4 Discretion and Foreign Investment Screening: The Case of Portugal -- 4.1 The "Opposition Procedure" -- 4.2 The "Opposition Procedure" and the EU Screening Regulation -- 5 Mitigation over Objection: The Screening of Foreign Investment in Italy -- 5.1 The Screening Procedure and Its Scope of Application -- 5.2 The Screening of Investments in Investments in Energy, Transport and Telecommunication -- 5.3 The Italian Screening Mechanism and the EU Screening Regulation -- 6 Concluding Remarks -- References -- Foreign Investment Screening in Poland, Lithuania and Latvia -- 1 Introduction -- 2 Poland -- 2.1 Principal Laws Governing Investment Screening -- 2.2 Screening Mechanism According to the Law on Control of Certain Investments -- 2.2.1 Investors Subject to Screening -- 2.2.2 Protected Enterprises -- 2.2.3 Transactions Subject to Screening -- 2.2.4 Screening Criteria -- 2.2.5 Competent Authorities -- 2.2.6 Procedure -- 2.2.7 Administrative and Judicial Review -- 2.2.8 Consequences of Unlawful Transactions -- 2.2.9 LCCL in Action -- 2.3

The Polish Law on Control of Certain Investments in the Light of the EU Screening Regulation -- 2.4 Conclusion -- 3 Lithuania -- 3.1 Principal Laws Concerning Investment Screening.

3.2 Screening Mechanism According to the Law on the Protection of Objects of Importance to Ensuring National Security -- 3.2.1 Investors Subject to Screening -- 3.2.2 Protected Objects -- 3.2.3 Transactions Subject to Screening -- 3.2.4 Screening Criteria -- 3.2.5 Competent Authorities -- 3.2.6 Procedure -- 3.2.7 Judicial Review -- 3.2.8 Consequences of Unlawful Transactions -- 3.3 Law on the Protection of Objects of Importance to Ensuring National Security in the Light of the EU Screening Regulation -- 3.4 Conclusions -- 4 Latvia -- 4.1 Principal Laws Concerning Investment Screening -- 4.2 Screening Mechanism According to the National Security Law -- 4.2.1 Investors Subject to Screening -- 4.2.2 Protected Objects -- 4.2.3 Transactions Subject to Screening -- 4.2.4 Screening Criteria -- 4.2.5 Competent Authorities -- 4.2.6 Procedure -- 4.2.7 Administrative and Judicial Review -- 4.2.8 Consequences of Unlawful Transactions -- 4.3 National Security Law in the Light of the Screening Regulation -- 4.4 Conclusions -- References -- Foreign Investment Screening in Hungary and Romania -- 1 Introduction -- 2 Romanian Competition Law No 21/1996 -- 3 The Scope of Application of Hungarian Law LVII of 2018 -- 3.1 The 'Foreign' Investor -- 3.2 'Operating' in Hungary -- 3.3 In a 'Sensitive' Economic Sector -- 3.4 Ratione Temporis -- 4 The Procedural Framework Set Out by Hungarian Law LVII of 2018 -- 4.1 The Obligations of the Foreign Investor -- 4.2 The Procedure and Judicial Redress -- 4.3 The Effects of the Minister's Decision -- 4.4 What if Investors Do Not Comply with the Obligation to Declare Their Activities? -- 5 The Interplay with the EU Screening Regulation -- 5.1 The Subject Matter and Scope -- 5.2 The Procedural Mechanisms -- 5.3 Factors to Be Taken into Consideration -- 6 Conclusion -- References -- Reports and Studies -- National and EU Legislation.

Foreign Investment Screening in Finland, Norway, Sweden and Denmark -- 1 Introduction: Investment Screening in Four Nordic Countries -- 2 Monitoring Acquisitions in Finland -- 2.1 Which Acquisitions Are Screened? -- 2.2 Which Sectors and Factors Are Considered When Determining to Allow an Investment? -- 2.3 Which Procedure Is Applied When Determining Whether to Allow an Investment? -- 2.4 Competence and Reasons for Rejection -- 2.5 Legal Consequences and the Possibility to Appeal -- 3 Norway's Security Act -- 3.1 The Former and New Norwegian Security Act on Protective Security Agreements -- 3.2 Monitoring Acquisitions in Norway -- 3.2.1 Which Sectors and Factors Are Considered? -- 3.2.2 What Are the Reasons for Rejection? -- 3.2.3 The Possibility to Appeal -- 4 Sweden and the Protective Security Act -- 4.1 A Proposal for a New Protective Security Act -- 4.1.1 Which Acquisitions Are (or Should Be) Monitored? -- 4.2 Sweden and a Limited Investment Screening Act -- 4.2.1 What Investments Are to Be Screened? -- 4.2.2 Which Factors Are Considered? -- 4.2.3 What Are the Reasons for Rejection? -- 4.2.4 The Possibility to Appeal -- 4.3 Sweden and a Comprehensive Investment Screening Act -- 5 Denmark -- 6 Similarities and Differences -- References -- Part III: Existing EU Secondary Legislation on (Discriminatory) Treatment of Third Country Investments - A Plurality of Regula... -- The Banking and Insurance Sector -- 1 Introduction -- 1.1 Outset -- 1.2 The EU Screening Regulation and Prudential Ownership Control Procedures -- 1.3 Prudential Ownership Control Procedures -- 1.3.1 Objectives, Requirements and Responsibilities -- 1.3.2 Third Country Investors -- 1.4 FDI Screening Mechanisms Under the EU Screening Regulation -- 1.4.1 Basic Elements of the EU Screening Regulation -- 1.4.2 Screening

Mechanism for Financial Institutions.
1.4.3 Flexibility of the Member States.
