

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910483086903321
Titolo	Computer vision : ACCV 2006 : 7th Asian Conference on Computer Vision, Hyderabad, India, January 13-16, 2006 : proceedings, part II // P.J. Narayanan, Shree K. Nayar, Heung-Yeung Shum (eds.)
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Berlin ; ; New York, : Springer, c2006
ISBN	3-540-32432-1
Edizione	[1st ed. 2006.]
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (XXXI, 980 p.)
Collana	Lecture notes in computer science, , 0302-9743 ; ; 3852 Computer vision : ACCV 2006 : 7th Asian Conference on Computer Vision, Hyderabad, India, January 13-16, 2006 : proceedings ; ; pt. 2
Altri autori (Persone)	NarayananP. J NayarShree K ShumHeung-Yeung
Disciplina	006.3/7
Soggetti	Computer vision
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Bibliographic Level Mode of Issuance: Monograph
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references and index.
Nota di contenuto	Infinite Homography Estimation Using Two Arbitrary Planar Rectangles -- Detection and Applications -- Statistics and Kernels -- Segmentation -- Geometry and Statistics -- Signal Processing -- Poster Session 3 -- Video Processing.
Sommario/riassunto	Welcometothe7thAsianConferenceonComputerVision.Itgivesusgreatpl- sure to bring forth its proceedings. ACCV has been making its rounds through the Asian landscape and came to India this year. We are proud of the technical program we have put together and we hope you enjoy it. Interest in computer vision is increasing and ACCV 2006 attracted about 500 submission. The evaluation team consisted of 27 experts serving as Area Chairs and about 270 reviewers in all. The whole process was conducted electronically in a double-blind manner,a ? rstfor ACCV. Eachpaper wasassignedto an Area Chair who found three competent reviewers for it. We were able to contain the maximum load on the reviewers to nine and the average load to less than six. The review form had space for qualitative and quantitative evaluation of the paper on nine aspects. The submitted reviews underwent an elaborate process. First, they were seen by the Area Chair, who resolved divergences of opinion among reviewers, if any. The Area Chair then

wrote qualitative comments and a quantitative score along with his/her initial recommendation on the paper. These were looked at by Program Co-chairs and compiled into a probable list. The Area Chairs and Program Co-chairs met in Beijing during ICCV to discuss this list and arrived at the final list of 64 oral papers and 128 posters. Naturally, many deserving papers could not be accommodated.
