Record Nr. Autore	UNINA9910480611403321 Hess Burkhard
Titolo	The private-public divide in international dispute resolution / / Burkhard Hess
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Leiden : , : Brill/Nijhoff, , 2018
ISBN	90-04-38490-1
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource
Collana	Pocketbooks of The Hague Academy of International Law
Disciplina	347.09
Soggetti	Dispute resolution (Law) Electronic books.
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references (pages 279-297).
Nota di contenuto	Preliminary Material Introduction The domestic perspective : separating domestic litigation from foreign and international adjudication The international perspective : private claims in international fora Regulatory challenges: competing institutions and legal orders Procedural challenges and changes: commercial versus public law dispute settlement Does substance influence dispute resolution? A tentative outlook on future avenues Conclusion: The normative value of the private-public divide today Bibliography Index About the Author Printing Information.
Sommario/riassunto	This course addresses dispute resolution in international cases from the classical perspective of the private-public divide. The main focus relates to overlapping remedies available under private international and public international law. Nowadays, a multitude of courts and arbitral tribunals at different levels (domestic, international and transnational) is accessible to litigants in cross-border settings. There are three different areas where the private-public divide is applicable. The first pertains to lawsuits in civil courts involving foreign states, state enterprises and international organizations. The second area relates to the delineation between domestic and international remedies. The third area concerns the private ordering. This study argues that the private-public divide still exists and cannot be given up. However, one must be aware that private and public international law have

1.

complementary functions in order to address adequately the multitude of disputes at both the cross-border and the international level. In this context, this divide can be used as an appropriate tool to explain the complementarity of private and public international law in the multilevel legal structure of a globalized world.