

1. Record Nr.	UNINA9910479903203321
Autore	Lee John
Titolo	The "Free and Open Indo-Pacific" and Implications for ASEAN // John Lee
Pubbl/distr/stampa	Singapore : , : ISEAS Publishing, , [2018] ©2018
ISBN	981-4818-64-X
Descrizione fisica	1 online resource (43 pages)
Collana	Trends in Southeast Asia, , 0219-3213 ; ; 2018, no. 13
Disciplina	330.0973
Soggetti	International Economics Electronic books. Indo-Pacific Region Strategic aspects Southeast Asia Foreign relations Indo-Pacific Region Indo-Pacific Region Foreign relations Southeast Asia Indo-Pacific Region Politics and government Southeast Asia Economic conditions
Lingua di pubblicazione	Inglese
Formato	Materiale a stampa
Livello bibliografico	Monografia
Note generali	Title from publisher's bibliographic system (viewed on 20 Feb 2019).
Nota di bibliografia	Includes bibliographical references.
Nota di contenuto	Front matter -- FOREWORD -- The "Free and Open Indo-Pacific" and Implications for ASEAN -- The "Free and Open Indo-Pacific" and Implications for ASEAN -- CONCLUSION
Sommario/riassunto	In recent times, the United States, Japan and Australia have all promoted extremely similar visions of a Free and Open Indo-Pacific as the central organizing concept to guide their efforts in the region. The concept is essentially a reaffirmation of the security and economic rules-based order which was cobbled together after the Second World War - especially as it relates to freedom of the regional and global commons such as sea, air and cyberspace, and the way nations conduct economic relations. Be that as it may, the Free and Open Indo-Pacific is an updated vision of collective action to defend, strengthen and advance that order. It signals a greater acceptance by the two regional allies of the U.S. of their security burden and takes into account the realities of China's rise and the relative decline in dominance of the U.S. There are a number of noteworthy "updates" which include: • A

deliberate move from "Asia-Pacific" to "Indo-Pacific" as the primary geo-strategic and geo-economic area of interest and responsibility for the three countries; • An increased emphasis on creating and sustaining a "balance of power" in favour of the rules-based order; and • A greater emphasis on the liberal aspects of a preferred order including the importance of rule-of-law and limitations on how governments wield their power, and greater separation of political and strategic objectives on one hand with commercial activities on the other. While operationalization of the Free and Open Indo-Pacific concept is at an early stage, trilateral strategic cooperation between the U.S., Japan and Australia is significant and quickly deepening. On the other hand, and with respect to misalignment and inconsistency, the economic policies of the Trump administration are causing considerable frustration. The three countries have also been strong supporters for the revival of the Quadrilateral grouping which also includes India. However, and notwithstanding some apprehension in Southeast Asia, about where the "Quad" is heading, the latter grouping is only still a fledgling one and its shape and development will depend on the extent to which the four countries become concerned about China's activities in both Oceans. Finally, the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) and its member states continue to delay any definitive response to the Free and Open Indo-Pacific concept. Although its principles are attractive to many ASEAN member states, long-held conceptions of ASEAN centrality and its meaning gives the organization apparent reason for hesitation. The reasons include fears of diminished centrality and relevance, and reluctance to endorse a more confrontational mindset being adopted by the U.S. and its allies - including the revival of the Quadrilateral grouping with India - with respect to China. The reality is that while ASEAN and major member states are focused primarily on the risks of action, there are considerable risks of inaction and hesitation. The current era will either enhance or lessen the relevance of ASEAN in the eyes of these three countries in the years ahead depending on how the organisation and its key member states respond. Indeed, the paper argues that ASEAN is more likely to be left behind by strategic events and developments if it remains passive, and that the ball is in ASEAN's court in terms of the future of its regional "centrality".

---