1. Record Nr. UNINA9910476832103321 Autore Wilkinson Dominic **Titolo** Ethics, conflict and medical treatment for children: from disagreement to dissensus / / Dominic Wilkinson, Julian Savulescu; foreword by Peter Singer, Neena Modi London:,: Elsevier,, 2018 Pubbl/distr/stampa Descrizione fisica 1 online resource (180 pages) Disciplina 618.92 Soggetti Pediatrics - Moral and ethical aspects Lingua di pubblicazione Inglese **Formato** Materiale a stampa Livello bibliografico Monografia I: Disagreement -- The charlie gard case -- II: Agreement -- Futility --Nota di contenuto Best interests -- Resources -- Research -- Parents -- III: Agreeing to disagree -- Dissensus and value pluralism -- Embracing disagreement -- Learning from charlie gard. What should happen when doctors and parents disagree about what Sommario/riassunto would be best for a child? When should courts become involved? Should life support be stopped against parents' wishes? The case of Charlie Gard, reached global attention in 2017. It led to widespread debate about the ethics of disagreements between doctors and parents, about the place of the law in such disputes, and about the variation in approach between different parts of the world. In this book, medical ethicists Dominic Wilkinson and Julian Savulescu critically examine the ethical questions at the heart of disputes about medical treatment for children. They use the Gard case as a springboard to a wider discussion about the rights of parents, the harms of treatment, and the vital issue of limited resources. They discuss other prominent UK and international cases of disagreement and conflict. From opposite sides of the debate Wilkinson and Savulescu provocatively outline the

strongest arguments in favour of and against treatment. They analyse some of the distinctive and challenging features of treatment disputes in the 21st century and argue that disagreement about controversial ethical questions is both inevitable and desirable. They outline a series of lessons from the Gard case and propose a radical new "dissensus"

framework for future cases of disagreement.